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## 1 Introduction

1.1 To address the predicted shortfall in housing delivery at New Lubbesthorpe, Blaby District Council (BDC) plan to allocate some 1,000 dwellings adjacent to the urban area of Leicester to be delivered between 2021 and 2035. In addition, BDC plan to allocate approximately 30ha of employment land at Enderby, near to junction 21 on the M1
1.2 A phase 1 study looked at the high-level impacts on the highway network of different housing and employment options.
1.3 For the preferred housing allocation, the phase 1 work showed that in principle the delivery of the housing was possible, however, there should be further work to look at whether:

- Further measures should be introduced to reduce movements through Kirby Muxloe from the A47 to Ratby Lane
- Further improvements should be made at the A47/Braunstone Lane junction
- Further improvements should be made from the A47/Braunstone Lane junction to the A46.
1.4 For the employment land allocation the results showed that a site consisting of exclusively B8 land-use would produce a fairly localised impact, however, with part of the land allocated to B1 and B2 uses the higher density of workers could lead to significant transport impacts which could possibly lead to substantial displacements of traffic in an already congested part of the network.
1.5 BDC plan to allocate 750 dwellings to a site north of the A47 in the Kirby Muxloe / Leicester Forest East area, with a further 250 dwellings on sites to the north of the district within the Principal Urban Area (PUA) and to allocate the employment site for B8 land use.
1.6 The employment site at Enderby is now actively being promoted. It was agreed with Leicestershire County Council Highway Authority (LHA) that the transport assessment that will be developed for this application will take account of the strategic impact of the proposed development and therefore there is not a requirement to undertake a further study of that site now. In addition, a note was
produced by $E A E^{1}$ to demonstrate that there should be a minimal cumulative transport impact from the delivery of a B8 employment site at Enderby and the housing to the north of the district. Consequently, this study has only considered the transport implications of the 1,000 new dwellings planned for the north of the district.
1.7 This phase 2 study has been commissioned to assess the transport implications of the housing developments and to identify the 'in-principle' transport mitigation measures required as part of a proportionate approach for local plan preparation.
1.8 Consultation has been undertaken with the Local Highway Authorities Leicestershire County Council and Leicester City Council and Highways England. None of the authorities have any specific concerns relating the planned scale or location of the development. However, they all wish to ensure that transport impacts are identified and material impacts mitigated. Their opinion will only be finalised once a planning application with an accompanying transport assessment is received.
1.9 This report presents our findings relating to the proposed housing development and contains sections relating to:
- Consultation with the Highway Authorities
- Background to the study and general assumptions
- In Principle Access Arrangements to the proposed site on the A47
- Estimation of Car Trip-volumes and Demand Management
- The Impact of the A47N site on Railway Level Crossings
- Modelling of the Highways Impact of the additional 1,000 dwellings
- Identification of possible Public Transport, bus lane and Park and Ride measures
- Identification of possible highways Improvements
- In principle transport mitigation measures


## 2 Consultations with the Highways Authorities

2.1 There are three highways authorities that have been consulted as part of this study. Each have responded on the basis that this is a strategic assessment, and that their final opinion will rest upon specific application(s) received and the accompanying transport assessment.

[^0]2.2 The three authorities are:

- Leicestershire County Council Highway Authority. It is the Local Highway Authority (LHA) responsible for the transport infrastructure within the district of Blaby.
- Leicester City Council Highway Authority is responsible for the transport infrastructure within the City Council area
- Highways England is responsible for the Strategic Road Network (SRN) which includes the A46 and M1
2.3 The LHA has been involved in the development of the methodology used to assess the highways impacts. In addition, it has advised that the principles of the 6C's guide should be used when determining the access requirements for the site.
2.4 Leicester City Council Highway Authority has been advised of this proposal. At present it has no specific concerns, although it would wish to minimise any impact on the highway network that impacts access to the city centre, the Outer Ring Road and the Fosse Park area and to ensure that it is attractive to access the city via public transport.
2.5 Highways England(HE), in a letter dated $11^{\text {th }}$ May 2017, advised that it had conducted a high-level review of the 750 dwellings originally proposed and had concluded that it considered that the sites would have limited impact on the operation of the Strategic Road Network (SRN) due to the small scale of development and the distance from the junctions. HE has been sent the phase 1 study which in general concurred with their conclusions regarding the impact on the SRN. HE has asked for sight of this report once complete.


## 3 Background and Assumptions

3.1 It was agreed with Leicestershire County Council Highway Authority (LHA) that the most appropriate way to assess the impact of the new dwellings was to consider the impact from a fully built out 'New Lubbesthorpe' development together with the additional allocation of 1000 houses, effectively assessing the impact in 2035. Although this goes beyond the end of the plan period, this approach ensures a robust approach to the assessment and ensures that the full scale of the impact is considered.
3.2 The LHA, however, was concerned about the potential phasing of the Lubbesthorpe and possible North of A47 development and were looking for reassurance that there would not be a gap between when mitigation associated
with the Lubbesthorpe development is delivered and when it would be required by the proposed new housing. EAE have produced a note ${ }^{2}$ that demonstrated that, based upon the planned level of growth and the proposed trigger points in the Lubbesthorpe S106 agreement, that all the mitigation measure should be delivered.

## 4 Access Arrangements

4.1 It is proposed that the site be accessed from two points on the A47. Figure 4-1 indicates the initial locations for the access points. Initial contact has been made with the LHA who confirmed that they would require the access to comply with the 6C's guidance and that it would expect a comprehensive transport assessment and travel plan as part of a formal planning application.
4.2 The access points are proposed to be priority T junctions with right turning lanes into the site. The actual design of the access will need to be prepared and agreed with the LHA as part of the application. Drawing 3031/001 included in Appendix A indicates that access to the site can be provided in accordance with the 6C's guidance. Proposed bus laybys have also been shown on Drawing 3031/002 to demonstrate that it will be possible to construct laybys adjacent to the site. Bus service options will need to be investigated during the transport assessment as part of the planning application to determine whether it is viable to divert services into the site, to serve the development, or if services will stop adjacent to the site using the bus laybys.
4.3 It is proposed that the speed limit along the frontage of the site be reduced to 40 mph (it is currently national speed limit) and suitable signage and entry treatment be provided, again detail to be agreed with the LHA.
4.4 A review of accident data concludes that there have been no serious accidents in the vicinity of the site accesses between 2012 and 2017.
4.5 It was noted during a site visit that there are services in the southern verge adjacent to the A47, namely Telecom, which may need alteration. There is likely to be other services along the frontage of the site.

[^1]

Figure 4-1: Map showing site boundary and potential points of access

## $5 \quad$ Car Trips and Demand Management

5.1 This is a local plan assessment, not a detailed planning application. Consequently, it is not possible to take into account the specific details of the type of houses to be built, nor the specific transport mitigation and demand management measures that will be proposed as part of a formal planning application.
5.2 BDC Core Strategy Policy CS10 seeks to achieve a modal shift away from private car use and so it will be expected that any application would include provision of a Travel Plan for new residents which includes measures to encourage the use of public transport and provision of new walking \& cycling routes within the site and connections into the cycle lanes on the A47.
5.3 The likely number of car trips has been estimated using TRICS, based upon survey data at a number of sites between 2008 and 2015. It should be noted that the 6C's guide recommends the use of the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile car trip-rates when undertaking a planning application. These would be used to determine the baseline trip-volumes to and from the site, and these would then be discounted to account for local factors that would impact the travel choices that would be made.
5.4 For this study, however, the housing mix is unknown and the specific travel planning measures will only be developed as part of the planning application.

However, it is note that:

- The LLITM distributions show that a large proportion of car trips make use of the A47 corridor.
- the site sits adjacent to the A47, at the edge of the PUA with fast and frequent bus services on the Coventry - Nuneaton - Hinckley - Leicester City corridor.
- The Meynell's Gorse Park and Ride site is located approximately 2.5 km from the site providing a direct link to the City.
- The S106 Agreement for the Lubbesthorpe development included the addition and extension of bus lanes along the length of the A47.
5.5 Therefore, it is likely that Public Transport could provide an important role in providing access to the site. To reflect this in this study the trip rates from an average development have been used rather than the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile. This is approximately $20 \%$ reduction and is in-line with trip-rate reductions that have been agreed previously with Leicestershire County Council Highway Authority when undertaking strategic assessments in the PUA.
5.6 Table 5-1 shows the number of vehicles that are estimated to access the additional 1,000 houses. This consists of the Allocated dwellings at the North of A47 site and a number of smaller sites. An additional 107 dwellings on top of those identified as allocations have been added for modelling purposes only to account for windfall/unknown sites that may come forward.

Table 5-1: Estimated additional vehicles based upon 1,000 extra dwellings

| Vehicles per Hour |  |  |  | AM: 0800 to 0900 |  |  | PM: 1700 to 1800 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Allocated Dwellings | Additional | Total | Arr | Dep | total | Arr | Dep | total |
| Trip-Rate (veh per hour) |  |  |  | 0.131 | 0.367 | 0.498 | 0.309 | 0.184 | 0.493 |
| Land North of the A47 | 750 |  | 750 | 98 | 275 | 374 | 232 | 138 | 370 |
| Grange Farm and Mill Close | 74 | 55 | 129 | 17 | 47 | 64 | 40 | 24 | 64 |
| RatbyLane/Desford Road | 52 | 39 | 91 | 12 | 33 | 45 | 28 | 17 | 45 |
| Land off Braunstone Lane | 17 | 13 | 30 | 4 | 11 | 15 | 9 | 5 | 15 |
| Total | 893 | 107 | 1,000 | 131 | 367 | 498 | 309 | 184 | 493 |

## 6 Railway Level Crossings

6.1 There is only one railway level crossing located in the vicinity of the proposed site on land North of the A47. The railway is a single-track freight only line with a very limited number of train movements per day.
6.2 This crossing on Station Drive (off Station Road / Kirby Road) provides vehicular access to the Kirby Muxloe Golf Course and a number of properties south of the
railway as well as providing pedestrian access to Footpath V82 which provides a route between Station Drive and Barry Drive.
6.3 The proposed development does not extend to, nor intersect with, either Station Drive or the Station Drive to Barry Drive footpath. In addition, there is no Public Right of Way to connect between the boundary of the proposed development and the footpath.
6.4 Therefore, it is not anticipated that the proposed development would materially impact the number of vehicular or pedestrian movements on the crossing.

## 7 Modelling of Highways Impact

### 7.1 Introduction

7.2 The LLITM model was commissioned to determine the transport impacts of 1,000 additional dwellings.
7.3 In consultation with the LHA it was agreed that the assessment would be in addition to the delivery of the houses in Lubbesthorpe. It was also agreed that the modelling would assume that the transport mitigation measures agreed as part of the Lubbesthorpe S106 Agreement would be included in the model run as committed improvements (see Appendix B). In addition, transport measures associated with the Optimus Point development are also included in the model run.
7.4 With regard to the mitigation proposed for the Lubbesthorpe development the LHA was concerned that:

- Firstly, there is a possibility of a 'gap' between when mitigation associated with the Lubbesthorpe development is delivered and when mitigation is required due to the additional traffic associated with the residential development on land North of the A47.
- Secondly that the A47 development could delay the triggering of mitigation measures from Lubbesthorpe, and may also lead to developers slowing down, or stopping, the delivery of houses at Lubbesthorpe as building progresses on the land North of the A47
- Thirdly, whether it would be considered reasonable, in the circumstances, to place planning conditions on the A47 development to deliver measures that were expected to be delivered by the Lubbesthorpe development, but which may not now be delivered in the time period previously considered acceptable.
7.5 Delivery of the Lubbesthorpe S106 Agreement mitigation is dependent on the trigger points and a 'Highways Delivery Schedule' which is agreed between the
developers and the LHA. This schedule details the delivery of infrastructure dependant on the build-out of the site and the highways network conditions. EAE have produced a technical note ${ }^{3}$ that demonstrates that it is reasonable to assume the mitigation measures will be delivered and that it is appropriate to assess the impact of the development with the measures in place.


### 7.6 LLITM assessment

7.7 The model run was undertaken by the Leicestershire County Council LLITM modelling team using the Highways (SATURN) component of the LLITM model using the 2031 AM and PM model. In addition, the results were compared against the 2016 model run.
7.8 The model is being run assuming that the full allocation of Lubbesthorpe and the additional 1,000 dwellings have been delivered by 2031 in order to determine a worst-case assessment. Thus, this report details the cumulative impact of the 1,000 dwellings and does not specifically address an individual site.
7.9 The 2031 model year is the closest to the Local Plan year and the model already assumes that Lubbesthorpe is fully built-out and the S106 Agreement mitigation delivered.
7.10 The full report is attached as Appendix E. A validation check demonstrated that the model was fit-for-purpose for undertaking this study. The validation did note that the inbound travel time on the A47 was slow in the model compared to the observed with the largest difference around the Braunstone Crossroads and that some recalibration along this stretch could have tightened up the model fit, but that the nature of the study and the fact that the general flow and journey time fit is good around this stretch means the model is fit for use in this study.
7.11 The model provided a comparison between a do-minimum scenario and a do-something scenario in which the only difference was the addition of 1,000 additional homes. In addition, it provides a comparison to the modelled conditions in 2016.
7.12 The 1,000 dwellings were made up of 750 dwellings on the site North of the A47 a further 250 dwellings were assumed to be delivered within the PUA. It is expected

[^2]that these would be delivered on specific sites, but also occur at 'windfall' locations. For modelling purposes only, the planned development at the smaller sites was 'grossed' up to 250 dwellings in order that the impact from a total of 1,000 dwellings is modelled.
7.13 Figure $7-1$ shows the distribution of traffic and potential volumes accessing the site in the morning peak period obtained from the phase 1 study. This distribution shows how traffic might route if congestion levels didn't change when more houses are added. It highlights the significant draw of traffic to the A47; the attractiveness of the route across the new Lubbesthorpe M1 bridge, and routing through Kirby Lane to access the northern side of Leicester.


Figure 7-1: AM Peak Distribution of traffic from phase 1 (vehicle volumes based of TRICS mean trip-rate)
7.14 The modelled flow volume differences for the cases with and without the additional houses are presented below in Figure 7-2 and Figure 7-3 for the AM and PM peak period. To aid visibility, and to remove 'clutter' from links that are not materially impacted, only links with a change of 20 or more movements (where this represents greater than $5 \%$ of the total traffic flow) are shown.
7.15 This shows that generally on the A47 (due to traffic redistribution) the traffic levels are not significantly different between the two cases. In fact, traffic levels potentially fall inbound approaching the Braunstone Lane junction in the morning peak due to traffic moving to less congested routes. The plots do show that traffic on Kirby Lane could increase due to traffic accessing Desford, Kirby Muxloe and Ratby. Also, the route through Lubbesthorpe could prove more attractive to access the Southern parts of Leicester. Generally, the changes in flow volume are modest, and the total flow level over the M1 bridge (which is less than 900 vehicles per hour in each time period) is well within the expected DMRB design limits for a single carriageway road.
7.16 It should also be noted that there are no material changes on the routes towards or on the A46 or M1

## AM Peak

Red Increase traffic
Blue Decrease traffic

## Junctions

(A) Desford Crossroads
(B) A47/Kirby Lane

C A47/Braunstone Lane
(D) A47/A563


Figure 7-2: 2031 AM Peak: Difference in Traffic volume with 1,000 extra houses
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Figure 7-3 2031 PM Peak: Difference in Traffic volume with 1,000 extra houses
7.17 The report shows that traffic levels increase within the immediate area of Kirby Muxloe, Braunstone (West of the A563), Lubbesthorpe and Leicester Forest East (see fig 5-6 in LLITM report). The additional 500 movements to/from the developments in each peak hour cause traffic levels (vehicle-km) in the immediate area to increase by around $3 \%$. This could lead to an overall fall of speed of $0.2 \mathrm{kph}(0.7 \%)$ in the AM Peak hour ( 28 kph to 27.8 kph ) and $0.8 \mathrm{kph}(2.7 \%)$ in the PM Peak hour (29.8kph to 29 kph ).
7.18 The report shows that journey times on most of the key routes in the area are largely unaffected by the addition of 1,000 houses. Figure 7-4 (below) shows the 8 routes selected for analysis.
7.19 The exception is route 2 between the proposed North of A47 site and the A47/Braunstone Lane junction. This showed significant increases (up to 40 seconds) in delay in both the morning and evening peak hour. Further analysis showed that the delay was due to delays at the A47/Kirby Lane junction and the A47/Braunstone Lane junction.


Figure 5-9: Route Locations for client specified output
Figure 7-4: Routes for undertaking journey time comparisons.
7.20 An analysis of junction performance was also undertaken on several junctions on the A47 which again highlighted the delays on the A47/Kirby Lane junction, the A47/Braunstone Lane junction and also the A47/A563 junction.
7.21 The LLITM report in particular noted that delays at the A47/A563 junction are primarily from the A563 (New Parks Way) entry where the proposed new design has only a limited right turn flared lane, rather than a dedicated high-capacity right turn lane.
7.22 In Summary the LLITM report showed:

- that traffic could be re-routing away from the A47 as the overall traffic levels are similar to the situation without the additional 1,000 dwellings. Journey times on the 8 routes analysed saw little change with the exception of the stretch between the proposed development site and the A47/Braunstone Lane junction where the A47/Kirby Lane and the A47/Braunstone Lane have seen a deterioration in performance - even with the Lubbesthorpe mitigation measures included.
- There is a potential for greater volume of traffic to use the M1 bridge through Lubbesthorpe. However, the total volumes are well within the design limits of the bridge.
- The A47/A563 junction sees a deterioration in performance on the New Parks Way entry
- traffic has increased on Kirby Lane, however it is not clear that this is undesirable given the possible range of destinations in the Kirby-Desford-Ratby directions.
- There has been no material increases in traffic or delays on the access to the A46 or the M1.


## 8 Possible Mitigation: Public Transport, P\&R and Bus Lanes

8.1 The North of Hinckley Road site is located directly adjacent to the A47 which is an important route for accessing the City of Leicester as well as for providing a route to Hinckley, Nuneaton and Warwickshire to the west.
8.2 BDC policy seeks to achieve a modal shift away from private car use and so it will be expected that any application would include provision of a Travel Plan for new residents which includes measures to encourage the use of public transport; and provision of new walking, cycling routes within the site and connections into the cycle lanes on the A47.
8.3 The estimated cost for the basic components of a travel plan are shown in Table 8-1.

Table 8-1: Components of a travel plan

| Element | breakdown of cost | Total cost |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Travel Plan | $£ 3000$ | $£ 3,000$ |
| Travel Pack | $£ 75$ each $\times 750$ dwellings <br> (excludes complementary vouchers/tickets) | $£ 56,000$ |
| Monitoring | $£ 3,000$ per year for 5 years | $£ 15,000$ |
|  |  | $£ 74,000$ |

8.4 The A47 is used by two existing bus services providing a fast, frequent services on the corridor linking Leicester, Hinckley, Nuneaton and Coventry.
8.5 The 48 (operated by Stagecoach) connects Leicester with Hinckley, Nuneaton and Coventry and the 158 (operated by Arriva) which connects Leicester to Hinckley and Nuneaton.
8.6 The 48 service operates with 3 services per hour in each direction with approximate travel times to/from the nearby 'The Red Cow' stop near Kirby Lane

- Leicester (Haymarket): 24 mins
- Hinckley (Bus station): 38 mins
- Nuneaton (Bus Station): 53 mins
- Coventry (trinity Street): 102 mins
8.7 The 158 service operates with 3 services per hour in each direction with approximate travel times to/from Braunstone Crossroads of:
- Leicester (St Margaret's): 22 mins
- Hinckley (Bus station): 37mins
- Nuneaton (Bus Station): 54 mins
8.8 Drawing 2 in Appendix A show that it is possible to provide a bus layby on the A47. However, the size of the proposed site means that houses at the far end of the site will be greater than 400 m from the bus stop. It is estimated that the cost of adding the laybys would be $£ 50 \mathrm{k}$ excluding any utility diversion costs.
8.9 During the planning application process it will be important for the promoter to engage with the two bus companies and the local highway authorities in order to assess whether buses could be diverted through the development using one of the access points as an entry and the other as an exit in order to create a route through the site that would ensure all the houses are within 400 m of a bus stop. The bus companies would be looking at the benefits of extra patronage and offsetting this against the increased journey time of the diversion. The bus company may look to contributions to offset any net loses. This could be in the range £150k to £250k per annum

In addition, it would also be important to determine whether an additional service should be provided linking the development site with Leicester city centre. The benefits of this would be dependent on the timing of the existing buses and the level of overcrowding. The promoter of the development may wish to fund an allday, peak period or off-period bus service linking to the site. This is likely to cost in the range £200k to £400k per annum, or may wish to consider the benefits of extending the new bus services linking Lubbesthorpe to Leicester onto the new development (for instance through Beggars Lane). This is estimated as £150k to £250k per annum
8.11 As well as the service buses, the Meynell's Gorse Park and Ride (P\&R) site is located around $2.5 \mathrm{~km}(\sim 1.5 \mathrm{mile})$ from the proposed development. It is possible that residents of the proposed development could be encouraged to use the P\&R site. It is however noted that the $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{R}$ service is one of the most successful in Leicester and is close to capacity at peak times.
8.12 There are a number of potential options for improving P\&R that could be provided through S106 agreements:

- Funding the revenue costs of providing an additional bus to allow an increase in frequency on the route between the $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{R}$ site and Leicester ( $\sim £ 100 \mathrm{k}$ pa for 5 years)
- Provide funding to provide more car parking spaces. The limited land available means that this would be provided by the addition of a second deck, in part of the
car park. This is estimated as $£ 300 \mathrm{k}-£ 500 \mathrm{k}$ but would depend on ground conditions and specification of the structure.
8.13 There are further options that could be considered by the site promoter during the planning application, for instance providing a shuttle bus service linking the proposed site on the A47 to the Meynell's Gorse P\&R site allowing residents to access the $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{R}$ buses without using a car.
8.14 The A47 has recently seen significant investment in bus priority measures and the Lubbesthorpe S106 agreement will add additional measures on the A47 between Baines Lane and Braunstone Lane and at the A47/Braunstone Lane junction and the A47/A563 junction as part of the agreed junction improvements.
8.15 As part of this study the following additional opportunities for bus lanes on the A47 were identified and are detailed in Appendix C.
- Beggars Lane to Baines Lane noting that this section includes the M1 bridge which may limit opportunities due to weight and/or safety issues. The cost is estimated at around $£ 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ to $£ 2.5 \mathrm{~m}$. The public utility diversion costs could be very high and not included in this estimate
- Avery Hill Inbound. It is noted that an outbound bus lane in proposed in the Lubbesthorpe S106. Including an inbound lane would require widening the carriageway which is estimated at $£ 500 \mathrm{k}$ to $£ 600 \mathrm{k}$ It is recommended that bus journey time improvements analysis is carried out and assessed alongside the detailed cost estimate to determine whether this improvement would be value for money.
- Winstanley Drive to Oswin Road outbound. This involves carriageway widening. The indicative estimated cost of this new length of bus lane is $£ 400 \mathrm{k}$ to £500kexcluding any necessary public utility diversion works. It is recommended that bus journey time improvements analysis is carried out and assessed alongside the detailed cost estimate to determine whether this improvement would be value for money.
- Frampton Avenue to Western Park Road (including a bus gate on the approach to the railway bridge). This involves widening the carriageway and the removal of trees. Cost $£ 400 \mathrm{k}$ to $£ 500 \mathrm{k}$. Previously this has been considered by the City Highways Authority, but rejected due to poor value for money and severance issues, particularly outside Dovelands school
8.16 In Summary, there are a number of measures that have been identified that would enhance the public transport accessibility of the proposed development site. In section 0 the opportunities are considered alongside the modelling results and the potential for highways improvements to provide an 'in-principle' list of possible mitigation options.
8.17 When a planning application is prepared the site promoter will need to engage with the bus companies and the local authorities to determine which are most likely measures that could be considered. Initial thoughts on possible options are
summarised in Table 8-2. In section 10 these options are considered alongside the LLITM modelling results and the potential for highways improvements to provide an 'in-principle' list of possible mitigation options.
8.18 The costs are budgetary estimates and would need revision following discussion/agreement between the various parties during the planning application. The estimates don't include any land or public utility diversion works costs. The bus service costs are very indicative only as the costs will depend on existing service operations and wider bus company considerations

Table 8-2: Summary of public transport measures

| Type | Intervention | Estimated Cost |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Travel Plan | Comprehensive travel plan | $£ 74,000$ |
| Bus Services | Providing two Laybys for existing bus <br> services on the A47. Excluding service <br> costs | $£ 50,000$ |
| Bus Services | Diverting existing bus services into the <br> development | $£ 150 \mathrm{k}$ to £250k per annum |
| Bus Services | Additional Service between Development <br> site and Leicester | $£ 200 \mathrm{k}-£ 400 \mathrm{k}$ per annum |
| Bus Services | Extending the proposed Leicester to <br> Lubbesthorpe services on to the new <br> development | $£ 150 \mathrm{k}$ to £250k per annum |
| Park and Ride | Additional Bus service | $£ 100 \mathrm{k}$ pa for 5 years |
| Park and Ride | Additional deck in part of the car park | $£ 300 \mathrm{k}$ to £500k |
| Bus Lanes | Beggars Lane to Baines Lane | $£ 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ to £2.5m |
| Bus Lanes | Avery Hill Inbound | $£ 500 \mathrm{k}$ to $£ 600 \mathrm{k}$ |
| Bus Lanes | Winstanley Drive to Oswin Road <br> outbound | $£ 400 \mathrm{k}$ to £500k |
| Bus Lanes | Frampton Avenue to Western Park Road | $£ 400 \mathrm{k}$ to $£ 500 \mathrm{k}$ |

## 9 Possible Mitigation: Highways Improvements

9.1 Following the outcome of the stage 1 transport report and the LLITM modelling results commissioned as part of phase 2, EAE have focussed on looking at opportunities for infrastructure improvement on the A47 between Desford Crossroads and the Inner Ring Road, and on routes through Kirby Muxloe.
9.2 This has involved looking at the improvements proposed for the Lubbesthorpe S106 Agreement, looking at traffic level increases forecast through LLITM, discussions with City Council and County Council Highways Officers and visits to site.
9.3 As well as physical improvements to the links we have also discussed the operation of the junctions with Area Traffic Control (ATC). ATC actively manage the road network through the use of variable message signs and through the control of the traffic signals. The control of the traffic signals allows the operation of the network to be optimised in order to meet defined objectives.
9.4 In particular we have discussed the options for adding SCOOT ${ }^{4}$ to signals along the A47 and MOVA ${ }^{5}$ to the larger junctions. The cost of adding this optimisation is due to the cost of vehicle detection sensors, communication channels between the sensors and signal controller, communication channels between the controller and the ATC control centre as well as the software license.
9.5 Table 9-1shows how each set of signals is currently operated. This highlights that SCOOT is already providing benefits on the A47 whilst the junctions in yellow are where MOVA is already installed, or where it could be installed to provide an additional benefit.

[^3]Table 9-1: Traffic signal operation of junctions on the A47

| ID | Junction |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $11111 / 2$ | West Bridge/St Nicholas Circle | UTC Timed plans AM Peak and off peak. Scoot PM Peak |
| 11141 | West Bridge/Duns Ln | Scoot |
| 11151 | KRR/Tudor Rd | Scoot |
| $11413 / 4$ | KRR/Kate Street | Scoot (currently off comms) |
| 11441 | KRR/Glenfield Rd East (and OB Cross) | Scoot |
| 11461 | KRR/Fosse Rd | Scoot |
| $11531 / 2$ | KRR/Hinckley Rd | Scoot |
| $11543 / 4$ | Hinckley Rd/Carlisle St | local detection (crossing) |
| 11561 | Hinckley Rd/Wyngate Dr | Scoot |
| 11573 | Hinckley Rd/Kingswood Ave | local detection off peak, Scoot peak |
| 11583 | Hinckley Rd/Western Park | local detection off peak, Scoot peak |
| 11655 | Hinckley Rd/Leisure Centre | Scoot |
| $11652 / 3$ | Hinckley Rd/Cort Crescent pel | local detection 24/7 |
| 11651 | Hinckley Rd/Cort Crescent | local detection 24/7 |
| $11661 / 2 / 3 / 4$ | Hinckley Rd/New Parks Way Rbt | TC Timed plans |
| 40131 | Hinckley Rd/Braunstone Ln/Ratby Ln | Scoot |
| 40123 | A47/Holmfield Rd West | local detection (crossing) |
| 40193 | A47/Packer Ave | local detection (crossing) |
| 40143 | A47/Kings Dr | Scoot |
| 40173 | A47/Kathleen Rutland Home | Scoot |
| 40111 | A47/Kirby Ln | Scoot |
| 40161 | A47/Warren Ln | Scoot |
| 40171 | A47/Beggars Ln | Scoot |
| 40181 | Desford Crossroads | MOVA |

9.6 Appendix D contains details on the opportunities and constraints to improve the highways network along the A47 corridor and through Kirby Muxloe
9.7 Initial thoughts on deliverable mitigation options are shown below. In section 0 the opportunities are considered alongside the LLITM modelling results and the potential for Public Transport improvements to provide an 'in-principle' list of possible mitigation options.
9.8 The costs are budgetary estimates and would need revision following discussion/agreement between the various parties during the planning application.
The estimates don't include any land or public utility diversion works costs.
Table 9-2: Summary of potential junction improvements

| Scheme | Description | Contribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A47/Desford <br> Crossroads | This scheme to significantly increase the <br> capacity of the junction is actively being <br> promoted by Leicestershire County <br> Council. The Lubbesthorpe SUE is <br> required to make a contribution of <br> £806,000. It is proposed that the | $£ 142,000$ |
|  | contribution from the North of A47 site of <br> 750 dwellings compared to 4250 at <br> Lubbesthorpe should contribute pro-rata |  |


| A47 Kirby Lane junction | Adding an additional lane inbound in order to increase the volume of flow across the junction during the traffic signals 'green' period. | £750,000 to £1,000,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A47/ <br> Braunstone Lane | Significant improvements are proposed for this location funded through the Lubbesthorpe S106. However, the junction is very constrained and whilst an inbound left turn filter lane on the A47 would be desirable the carriageway is already abutting the highways boundary. As a consequence, there no further infrastructure improvements that could be made without acquiring the adjacent land. However, the operation of the junction could be improved with the installation of MOVA. | £300,000 |
| A47/A563 Junctions | Significant improvements are proposed as part of the Lubbesthorpe S106 agreement. However, it was noted from the modelling that the Eastern entry from New Parks Way was under stress in the evening peak. This could be improved by extending the right turn entry flare to a longer dedicated right turn lane. In addition, the junction is not MOVA enabled. Adding MOVA would improve the operation of the junction. | Dedicated Right turn lane £250,000 to $£ 350,000$ <br> MOVA - £300,000 |
| A47/Oswin Road/Cort Crescent junction | The junction is forecast to be stressed in 2031. There is highways land that would enable the provision of a separate left turn lane into and out of Cort Crescent. | £300k to £500k |
| Station Road (Kirby Muxloe) | Kirby Lane leading to Station Road provides a route between the A47 and Kirby Muxloe. There is already speed reduction measures on this stretch of road, and there are opportunities to add one or two additional features between Wentworth Green and Linden Lane. The adoption of these measures would need to be balanced against hindering 'legitimate' trips using this route to travel between the A47 and Ratby, Kirby Muxloe and Desford. | £15,000 |

## 10 In-Principle Transport Measures

10.1 The approach adopted in this study has been to consider the LLITM modelling results, the broad objectives of the highways authorities and the potential measures that could be delivered to support public transport and the highways network.
10.2 However, it should be noted that it will only be possible to assess the detailed impact and required mitigation once the precise nature of any development is known and the impact of the development assessed with the appropriate demand measures.
10.3 In particular the transport authorities are only able to formally respond to a formal planning application. Consequently, the opinions expressed in this report reflect their 'best advise' on the most likely requirements for measures to support non-car travel and measures to mitigate the impact on the highways network. The opinions expressed as part of this study will not prejudice their response to a formal planning application.
10.4 The modelling work has demonstrated that the Strategic Road Network (SRN) is unlikely to be materially impacted by the development of 1,000 houses in and around the PUA to the north of Blaby. Consequently, there are not any additional measures proposed to support the A46 or M1 or junctions that access the SRN.
10.5 For the LHA it was noted that they wished to ensure that there is safe access onto the A47 and that delays and congestion is minimised. They welcome measures that support road traffic as well as measures that encourage the uptake of public transport. The City Highways Authority wish to ensure that access to the City and the Fosse Park area is maintained and that they will support measures that encourage the use of public transport.
10.6 Consequently, at this stage it is only possible to 'propose' possible mitigation measures. These have been split into three categories: most-likely measures which are highly likely to be requested, lower priority measures from which only a selection would be considered, and less likely measures where the schemes are unlikely to be selected due to the distance from the site and their expected impact.
10.7 The most likely measures are likely to include a large proportion of the following schemes which provide a direct benefit to the immediate vicinity of the proposed
development and A47. It should be noted that the costs provided exclude estimates for the diversion of services (gas, water, telecom etc) which may be present.

Table 10-1: Most likely schemes to be requested by the highways authorities

| Scheme | Description | Contribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A47/Desford Crossroads | This scheme to significantly increase the capacity of the junction is actively being promoted by Leicestershire County Council. The Lubbesthorpe SUE is required to make a contribution of $£ 806,000$. It is proposed that the contribution from the North of A47 site of 750 dwellings compared to 4250 at Lubbesthorpe should contribute pro-rate | $\begin{gathered} 750 / 4250=18 \% \\ £ 145,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| A47 Kirby Lane junction | Adding an additional lane inbound in order to increase the volume of flow across the junction during green period and thus reduce inbound delays | £750,000 to £1,000,000 |
| A47/ <br> Braunstone <br> Lane | Significant improvements are proposed for this location funded through the Lubbesthorpe S106. However, the junction is very constrained and whilst an inbound left turn filter lane on the A47 would be desirable the carriageway is already abutting the highways boundary. As a consequence there no further infrastructure improvments that could be made without acquiring the adjacent land. However the operation of the junction could be improved with the installation of MOVA | £300,000 |
| Station Road (Kirby Muxloe) | Kirby Lane leading to Station Road provides a route between the A47 and Kirby Muxloe. There a already speed reduction measures on this stretch of road, and there are opportunities to add one or two additional features between Wentworth Green and Linden Lane. The adoption of these measures would need to be balanced against the desire to hinder 'legitimate' trips using this route to travel between the A47 and Ratby, Kirby Muxloe and Desford. | £15,000 |
| Travel Plan | Comprehensive travel plan | £74,000 |
| Bus Services | Providing two Laybys for existing bus services on the A47 | £50,000 |
| Bus Lanes | Beggars Lane to Baines Lane | £1.5m to £2.5m |
| TOTAL |  | £2.834M to £4.08M |

10.8 In addition there are likely to be one or more 'lower priority' options from the list in Table 10-2 which may be requested by the highways authority. Each of these individually has merit, however any measures required will be determined by the priorities agreed in discussion between the site promoter and the highways authorities.

Table 10-2: Lower priority schemes that $m$

| Type | Intervention | Cost |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| A47/A563 | Significant improvements are proposed <br> as part of the Lubbesthorpe S106 <br> agreement. However, it was noted from <br> the modelling that the Eastern entry from <br> New Parks Way was under stress in the <br> evening peak. This could be improved <br> by extending the right turn entry flare to a <br> longer dedicated right turn lane. In <br> addition the junction is not MOVA <br> enabled. Adding MOVA would improve <br> the operation of the junction | Dedicated Right turn lane <br> $£ 250,000$ to £350,000 |
| MOVA - £300,000 |  |  |
| Bus Services | Diverting existing bus services into the <br> development | $£ 150 \mathrm{k}$ to £250k pa |
| Bus Services | Additional Service between Development <br> site and Leicester | $£ 200 \mathrm{k}$ to £400k pa |
| Bus Services | Extending the proposed Leicester to <br> Lubbesthorpe services on to the new <br> development | $£ 100 \mathrm{k}$ to £200k pa |
| P\&R | Additional Bus service | $£ 100 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{pa} \mathrm{for} \mathrm{5} \mathrm{years}$ |
| P\&R | Additional deck in part of car park | $£ 300 \mathrm{k}$ to £500k |
| Bus Lanes | Avery Hill Inbound | $£ 500 \mathrm{k}$ to 600 k. |
| Bus Lanes | Winstanley Drive to Oswin Road <br> outbound | $£ 400 \mathrm{k}$ to £500k |
| Bus Lanes | Frampton Avenue to Western Park Road | $£ 400 \mathrm{k}$ to £500k |

10.9 The following are less likely to be required due to their location and the results of the transport modelling which showed that journey times on the A47 were not significantly impacted by the development.

Table 10-3Less likely measures

| Scheme | Description | Contribution |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| A47 /Oswin | The junction is forecast to be stressed in | $£ 300 \mathrm{k}$ to $£ 500 \mathrm{k}$ |
| Road/Cort | 2031. There is highways land that would <br> enable the provision of a separate left |  |
| Crescent | junction <br> turn lane into and out of Cort Crescent. |  |

## 11 Conclusions/Findings

11.1 This, phase 2, study has been commissioned to assess the transport implications of proposed housing allocations on the edge of the PUA and to identify the likely 'in-principle' transport mitigation measures required as part of a proportionate approach for the preparation of the Blaby Local Plan Delivery DPD.
11.2 Three highways authorities (Leicestershire County Council Highway Authority, Leicester City Council Highway Authority and Highways England) have been consulted as part of this study. Each has responded on the basis that this is a strategic assessment, and that their final opinion will rest upon specific planning application(s) received and the accompanying transport assessment.
11.3 The findings of this study are summarised as follows:
11.4 Access Arrangements to the North of A47 site: It has been shown that it is possible in-principle to provide access to the site which complies with the requirements of the 6C's guide.
11.5 Level Crossings: There is only one railway level crossing located in the vicinity of the proposed site on land North of the A47. The railway is a single-track freight only line with a very limited number of train movements per day. The proposed development does not extend to, nor intersect with any footpath leading to the crossing. Consequently, it is not anticipated that the proposed development would materially impact the number of pedestrian or vehicular movements on the crossing.
11.6 Transport Modelling: The LLITM ${ }^{6}$ report notes that the greatest impact is likely to be on the A47 with the greatest impact at the Kirby Lane, Braunstone Lane and A563 junctions.
11.7 Possible Mitigation: public transport measures, services and bus lanes:

When a planning application is being prepared the site-promoter will need to engage with the bus companies and the local authorities to determine which are most likely measures that could be considered. The study has considered numerous options which are summarised in Table 8-2 Those options considered most likely to be required are included in the 'in-principle' mitigation measures reported in paragraph 11.9.

[^4]11.8 Possible Mitigation: Highways Improvements. This study has looked at measures involved in improving the control of traffic through improved optimisation of traffic signals as well as looking at opportunities for making improvements to the highways infrastructure. Table 9-2 summarises the opportunities identified. Those options considered most likely to be required are included in the 'in-principle' mitigation measures presented later in paragraph 11.9.
11.9 In-principle Transport Measures: The approach adopted in this study has been to consider the LLITM modelling results, the broad objectives of the highways authorities and the potential measures that could be delivered to support public transport and the highways network.
11.10 However, it should be noted that it will only be possible to assess the detailed impact and required mitigation once the precise nature of any development is known and the impact of the development assessed with the appropriate demand management measures.
11.11 However, it is possible to highlight measures that are considered likely to be agreed by the applicant and the LHA. These could include a large proportion of the following schemes (Table 11-1) which provide a direct benefit to the immediate vicinity of the proposed development and A47. The main report also highlights schemes deemed 'lower priority’ that may also be considered.

Table 11-1: Most likely schemes to be requested by the highways authorities

| Scheme | Description | Contribution ${ }^{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A47/Desford Crossroads | This scheme to significantly increase the capacity of the junction is actively being promoted by Leicestershire County Council. The Lubbesthorpe SUE is required to make a contribution of $£ 806,000$. It is proposed that the contribution from the North of A47 site of 750 dwellings compared to 4250 at Lubbesthorpe should contribute pro-rate | $\begin{gathered} 750 / 4250=18 \% \\ £ 145,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| A47 Kirby Lane junction | Adding an additional lane inbound in order to increase the volume of flow across the junction during green period and thus reduce inbound delays | $\begin{aligned} & £ 750,000 \text { to } \\ & £ 1,000,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| A47 / <br> Braunstone Lane | Significant improvements are proposed for this location funded through the Lubbesthorpe S106. However, the junction is very constrained and whilst an inbound left turn filter lane on the A47 would be desirable the carriageway is already abutting the highways boundary. As a consequence there no further infrastructure improvments that could be made without acquiring the adjacent land. However the operation of the junction could be improved with the installation of MOVA | £300,000 |
| Station Road (Kirby Muxloe) | Kirby Lane leading to Station Road provides a route between the A47 and Kirby Muxloe. There a already speed reduction measures on this stretch of road, and there are opportunities to add one or two additional features between Wentworth Green and Linden Lane. The adoption of these measures would need to be balanced against the desire to hinder 'legitimate' trips using this route to travel between the A47 and Ratby, Kirby Muxloe and Desford. | £15,000 |
| Travel Plan | Comprehensive travel plan | £74,000 |
| Bus Services | Providing two Laybys for existing bus services on the A47 | £50,000 |
| Bus Lanes | Beggars Lane to Baines Lane | £1.5m to £2.5m |
| TOTAL |  | $\begin{gathered} £ 2.834 \mathrm{M} \text { to } \\ £ 4.08 \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ |

[^5]
## Appendix A. Access Arrangements - Land north of the A47

The drawings show a concept drawing of the possible access arrangements.

Drawing 3030/001 shows a possible access arrangement from both potential locations
Drawing 3030/002 shows a possible access arrangement and demonstrates the feasibility of adding bus-stops


Drawing 1: Demonstrating the possibility of access to the A47


Drawing 2: Demonstrating the possibility of access to the A47 and the possibility of adding bus laybys

## Appendix B. Lubbesthorpe Mitigation Measures

11.12 Annex1 to the Lubbesthorpe S106 agreement summarised the highways works agreed within the S106 together with the trigger points.

- Part 1 of the annex details mitigation required at an early stage (for instance to provide access to the site and for the M1 bridge) are triggered by maximum buildout levels that will be allowed before the mitigation is delivered.
- Part 2 of the annex details mitigation required later is triggered by a minimum build out level and a Highways Delivery Schedule. This Highways Delivery Schedule is to be agreed with County Highways following the delivery of the $300^{\text {th }}$ dwelling. This schedule is then reviewed and updated on the delivery of the $1,000^{\text {th }}$ dwelling and then after every 500 dwellings.
11.13 In addition, contributions towards the cost of improvements are set out in seventh and ninth schedule within the S106 agreement. These are:
- Foxhunter roundabout which is triggered at 3,000 dwellings;
- Desford Crossroads (£806k) which is triggered at 3,500 dwellings;
- Leicester Bus station which is triggered at 50,2600 and 3750 dwellings
11.14 WSP have produced a draft Highways Delivery Schedule dated 10/7/2015 in which they provide predicted trigger levels based upon expected increases in traffic. These, together with the minimum trigger level are summarised in Table 11-2. This highways Delivery Schedule will be reviewed by County Highways on a regular basis during the delivery of the housing.

Table 11-2: Proposed S106 mitigation measures

| Mitigation Measure | Minimum <br> Trigger | WSP proposed <br> trigger |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| A47 Baines Lane Junction | 301 | 1700 |
| A47 Bus Lane <br> (Baines Lane to Braunstone Lane) | 351 | 1500 |
| A47/Braunstone Lane Junction | 750 | 1500 |
| A47/A563 Junction | 501 | 1500 |
| A47/Kirby lane | Not specified | 1500 |
| Vaughan Way/Causeway Ln Junction | 1000 | 2500 |
| M69 Bridge link | Not specified | 2500 or <br> occupation of <br> 50,000 sqm of <br> employment <br> land |
| Leicester Lane / St Johns Junction |  | 2500 |
|  |  | 3500 |
| Meridian South / A563 Roundabout signalisation | Not specified | 2500 |
| A5460/A563 link improvements | Not specified | Not specified |

## Appendix C. Possible Bus Priority measures on the A47

11.15 This appendix provides a review of current, proposed and possible additional Bus Priority Measures on the A47 corridor
11.16 There are currently significant lengths of bus lane, both inbound and outbound on the A47, between the A47/Avery Hill junction (between the A47/Ratby Lane junction and the A47/A563 Outer Ring Road junction). A new length of bus lane is proposed between Baines Lane and the Braunstone Crossroads inbound in the Lubbesthorpe S106 Agreement and from Avery Hill to the Braunstone Crossroads outbound.
11.17 From Beggars Lane to Baines Lane the highway corridor is generally wide enough (between 17 m to 19.5 m ) to accommodate a new bus lane (inbound likely to be preferable). This section would include crossing over the M1 bridge and hence this would need checking as to the suitability or otherwise of an additional lane of carriageway over the bridge. Creation of a bus lane would require kerbline alterations to both sides of the carriageway for the majority of this length and hence this would be an expensive scheme that is estimated to be between $£ 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ to $£ 2.5 \mathrm{~m}$. Public utility diversion costs could be very high and not included in this estimate
11.18 New lengths of bus lane in each direction could be provided from the existing bus lanes on the A47 near Avery Hill to the Braunstone Crossroads. Carriageway widening would be required. The indicative estimated cost of this new length of bus lane is $£ 500,000$ to $£ 600,000$ excluding any necessary public utility diversion works. Noting that an outbound bus lane is proposed as part of the Lubbesthorpe S106 it is recommended that bus journey time improvements analysis is carried out and assessed alongside the detailed cost estimate to determine whether this improvement would provide value for money.
11.19 A new length of bus lane would be possible from near Winstanley Drive to the existing bus lane outbound after the A47/Oswin Road junction assuming the junction improvements at Oswin Road were progressed. Provision of a bus lane would require carriageway widening. The indicative estimated cost of this new length of bus lane is $£ 400,000$ to $£ 500,000$ excluding any necessary public utility diversion works. It is recommended that bus journey time improvements analysis
is carried out and assessed alongside the detailed cost estimate to determine whether this improvement would provide value for money.
11.20 A new length of outbound bus lane from Frampton Avenue to Western Park Road including a bus gate on the approach to the railway bridge (city council bus pinch points list) could be provided at an estimated cost of $£ 400 k$ to $£ 500 k$. However, this was considered as part of the Enderby Park and Ride service route scheme and it was concluded at that time (2009) that the journey time benefit was quite small (verses the cost of widening the carriageway and removal of trees) and there would be a severance issue here particularly outside the Dovelands School.

## Appendix D. Possible Highways Improvements

### 11.21 The A47 Corridor (excluding Bus lanes)

11.22 This is a key radial route into and out of Leicester from the west. The route is twoway single carriageway, over the M1 on an overbridge, from the development site to the approach to the A563 Outer Ring Road junction. From the A563 Outer Ring Road the route has lengths of two lane (one being a bus lane) two-way carriageway, two way in bound/one lane outbound carriageway and two way single carriageway with the crossing of the railway line, at the "Shoulder of Mutton" bridge being a restriction. The Meynell's Gorse Park and Ride service uses the A47 from Ratby Lane into the city centre. The Enderby Park and Ride service has used the A47 from the A563 Outer Ring Road in the past and uses this route if the Soar Valley Way/A426 corridor is affected by disruption.
11.23 A47 Desford Crossroads. Leicestershire County Council highways have undertaken some early feasibility work on a scheme to address the congestion issues experienced by road users at the crossroads - The draft plan of the likely scheme is shown in Figure 11-1. Should funding be awarded for the scheme under the National Productivity Investment Fund, then more detailed designs will be progressed to allow full consultation to take place with local businesses, residents and wider stakeholders. If approved the scheme could be delivered in the financial year 2019/20.
11.24 Through their S106 agreement the promoters of Lubbesthorpe are required to contribute $£ 806,000$ to the improvements. Given that developments on the A47 are likely to have a similar proportion of trips travelling west on the A47 then it is proposed that the contribution could be pro-rate to the number of houses:
750/4250 * £806,000 $\approx £ 142,000$


Figure 11-1: Draft scheme for Desford Road / A47 Junciton
11.25 The A47/Kirby Lane junction is a signalised T junction on a bend on the A47 The junction is predicted to be severely stressed. Mitigation is proposed for the junction in the Lubbesthorpe S106 Agreement Figure 11-2. The mitigation includes removal of a refuge and provision of a pelican crossing on the A47 on the inbound (to the city) approach to the junction. Kirby Lane carriageway is to be widened to allow two lanes approaching the A47 for a left and a right turn lane.


Figure 11-2: Kirby Lane Junction, proposed Lubbesthorpe S106 improvements
11.26 Modelling suggests that inbound delays on the A47 start at this junction. The stop lines are located a considerable distance from the junction to facilitate turning movements into and out of Kirby Lane, this results in longer delays.
11.27 As the highway corridor varies between approximately 17.5 m and 19.5 m wide near the junction, and there is garden land and a former petrol station site adjacent to the highway, if additional land was required/justified, there is scope to re-design the junction (see Figure 11-3 for an indicative layout) and provide an additional traffic lane either inbound or outbound at the junction, with appropriate tapers and merge lengths to help improve junction capacity. The design of the junction should be such that capacity is improved for traffic on the A47 and that traffic should be discouraged from rat running through Kirby Muxloe. The indicative estimated cost (not including any public utility diversions necessary and any not including any land costs (unlikely to be required)) is $£ 750,000$ to $£ 1 \mathrm{M}$.


Figure 11-3: Sketch of possible improvements to add an additional in bound lane

Extensive mitigation improvements are proposed at the junction as part of the Lubbesthorpe Section 106 Agreement. (Figure 11-5) The improvements include widening on each of the approaches to the junction to allow an additional running lane on each approach. The exit merge lane on Ratby Lane is also planned to be extended. A new length of bus lane is proposed on the inbound approach to the junction, from Baines Lane, and a signalised bus gate is planned at the end of the bus lane on the inbound approach to the junction. A new length of bus lane is also proposed on the outbound approach to the junction, from Avery Hill, and a signalised bus gate is planned at the end of the bus lane on the outbound approach to the junction.


Figure 11-4: Highways Extents at A47/Braunstone Lane junction


Figure 11-5: Improvements proposed within the Lubbesthorpe S106 agreement
11.30 There is an improvement line prescribed on Hinckley Road, however the works to improve/widen this part of Hinckley Road were carried out in the late 1930's. The
building lines were established to ensure that any new buildings were located sufficiently far back to protect the amenity of the residents.
11.31 There is land available, currently a car sales forecourt, which could be used to create a separate left turn lane into Ratby Lane. If this were progressed it may mean the car sales business being relocated as the land remaining may be insufficient for the business. Providing this left turn lane may help make the A47/Ratby Lane route more attractive to drivers accessing the A46 and hence reduce additional traffic through Kirby Muxloe village. The potentially high costs involved in delivering the turning lane make the delivery of this option unlikely.
11.32 The junction is currently operated within the SCOOT. ATC can use this to optimise the traffic flow on the A47. However at this junction it may not optimise flows for the P\&R bus or traffic on Ratby Lane or Braunstone Lane. Further discussion is necessary during any planning application related to the adoption of MOVA operation at this junction. This is estimated at $£ 300,000$, but would depend on the additional traffic sensors and communication channels required.
11.33 The A47/Meadwell Road junction is a priority T junction. There is currently space at the junction for both left and right turners to exit Meadwell Road at the same time. The junction is predicted to be severely stressed although it is a "loading point" for traffic in the LLITM model (so the flows into this junction could be overestimated in the LLITM model). Mitigation is not proposed for the junction in the Lubbesthorpe S106 Agreement. Meadwell Road is a link between Braunstone Lane and the A47 and can be a rat run to avoid the A47/Braunstone Lane/Ratby Lane junction.
11.34 Whilst there is space to improve the junction including signalising this would increase delay to traffic on the A47, including local bus services and the park and ride service and could encourage rat running along Meadwell Road. The close proximity of the Golf Course Lane junction with the A47 would also need to be taken into account. Hence mitigation is not recommended for this junction.
11.35 The A47/Golf Course Lane junction is a priority T junction providing one of three accesses to the Scudamore Road industrial estate from the classified road network. The junction is predicted to be severely stressed. Mitigation is not proposed for the junction in the Lubbesthorpe S106 Agreement.
11.36 The junction could be improved by local widening to improve/provide a separate left turn out of Golf Course Lane, a left turn lane into Golf Course Lane and/or signalisation. The close proximity of the Meadwell Road junction with the A47 would also need to be taken into account. Whilst there is space to improve the junction including signalising this would increase delay to traffic on the A47, including local bus services and the park and ride service. In addition, the industrial estate accesses onto Ratby Lane (which is currently being improved) and the A563 Outer Ring Road are more appropriate accesses. Hence mitigation is not recommended for this junction.
11.37 The A47/A563 Outer Ring Road junction is a four-arm signalised roundabout with multiple lane approaches including bus lanes, both inbound and outbound on entrances to and exits from the junction. The junction is predicted to be severely stressed. Extensive mitigation improvements are proposed at the junction as part of the Lubbesthorpe Section 106 Agreement. The improvements include removing the signalised roundabout and providing a signalised crossroads junction with additional lanes at the approach to stop lines and separate bus lanes on the approaches to and through the junction on the A47.
11.38 The proposed mitigation has been reviewed and considered extensive. Improving the capacity of the right-turn movement from the A563 (Braunstone Way) Outer Ring Road into the A47 city bound could be desirable but the topography (and the A563 is elevated on a bridge over Hockley Farm Road on the approach to the junction) is such a constraint that no further mitigation is recommended on this approach.
11.39 The LLITM modelling did however note that the New Parks Way (A563) approach to the junction was constrained by having a limited capacity flare rather than a longer dedicated right turn lane. The indicative estimated cost of extending right turn lane from New Parks Way is $£ 250,000$ to $£ 350,000$ excluding any public utility diversion works deemed necessary. In addition the junction is currently operated on fixed timing plans. The Lubbesthorpe S106 agreement related to the physical improvements at the junction. Further enhancements could be made by the adoption of MOVA at this junction estimated to cost £300,000


Figure 11-6: Proposed improvements at the A47/A563 junction
11.40 The A47/Oswin Road/Cort Crescent junction is a four-arm signalised junction with two lanes on all approaches. The junction is predicted to be severely stressed. Mitigation is not proposed for the junction in the Lubbesthorpe S106 Agreement.
11.41 There is land available to provide a separate left turn into and out of Oswin Road and similarly there is land available to provide a separate left turn lane into and out of Cort Cresent. Figure 11-7 below shows an indicative layout for an improved junction. The indicative estimated cost of these junction improvements is $£ 300,000$ to $£ 500,000$ excluding any necessary public utility diversions.


Figure 11-7: Indicative layout of additional lanes at the A47/Oswin Road/Cort Cresent junction
11.42 The junctions of A47/Western Park Road, A47/Westfield Road and the A47/Meadhurst Road junctions are predicted to be stressed or severely stressed. These predictions are partly a function of how traffic is "loaded" to the network in the LLITM. Mitigation is not proposed for the junction in the Lubbesthorpe S106 Agreement.
11.43 Given that there is little scope for widening improvements at these residential side road junctions and that signalising any of these junctions would lead to delays to traffic on the A47 mitigation is not recommended for these junctions.
11.44 Kirby Muxloe village - Kirby Lane, Forest Rise, Station Rd, Main Street
11.45 From Kirby Lane to Main Street / Station Road there are several bends, particularly at the railway bridge, and small "hills" along its length and there are full
width flat top road humps along part of Station Road, from Wentworth Green to Barwell Road. The bends and changes in topography do act as traffic calming features to some extent.
11.46

Forest Rise, which runs parallel to Kirby Lane could be used as a short cut. However, the entrance to Forest Rise, which is an unadopted road, is quite secluded and Forest Rise has significant size pot holes which will be acting as a deterrent to drivers wishing to rat run through Kirby Muxloe village.
11.47 Main Street is heavily parked up on one side for most of its length and hence this is acting as "natural" traffic calming.
11.48 Extensive traffic calming, mainly full width flat top road humps, are proposed as part of the Lubbesthorpe S106 Agreement for Leicester Forest East on each side of the A47 (ie Warren Lane Area and Marydene Drive Area) and on Kirby Lane to help discourage "rat running" through these areas and through Kirby Muxloe village.
11.49 Further possible traffic calming measures have been considered and our conclusion is that the traffic calming scheme on Station Road could be reviewed and enhanced, for example one or two additional features (estimated as $£ 15,000$ ) added between Wentworth Green and Linden Lane. However, this intervention should be balanced with the needs of the residents as the route provides an important link to/from Kirby Muxloe and Desford Lane.
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## 1. Document Sign-off

### 1.1. Control Details

## Document

 Location:Production Software:

Authors: Matt Parker, Richard Best
Owner: Alex Gray, Network Data and Intelligence Team

### 1.2. Document history and status

| Revision | Date | Description | By | Review | Approved |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | $06 / 10 / 17$ | Draft for internal review | MP | RB | RB |
| 1.0 | $11 / 10 / 17$ | Draft for client approval | MP | RB | $R B$ |
| 2.0 | $16 / 10 / 17$ | Final version | MP | RB | $R B$ |

This document has been prepared by Leicestershire County Council for the sole use of our client (the "Client") and in accordance with generally accepted consultancy principles, the budget for fees and the terms of reference agreed between Leicestershire County Council Limited and the Client. Any information provided by third parties and referred to herein has not been checked or verified by Leicestershire County Council Limited, unless otherwise expressly stated in the document. No third party may rely upon this document without the prior and express written agreement of Leicestershire County Council Limited.

Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2017

Whilst the modelling work outlined in this report has been carried out using the Leicester and Leicestershire Integrated Transport Model (LLITM), its findings and any conclusions do not necessarily represent the views of Leicestershire County Council as the Highway Authority.

## 2. Overview

### 2.1. Introduction

2.1.1. This report has been commissioned from Leicestershire County Council (LCC) by consultants Edwards \& Edwards for Blaby District Council to provide evidence for a study to assess the impact of additional housing, together with associated transport mitigation measures, in the vicinity of Leicester Forest East, Kirby Muxloe and the Leicester Principal Urban Area (PUA).
2.1.2. The Leicester and Leicestershire Integrated Transport Model (LLITM v5.1) is being used to provide traffic forecasts to quantify the likely impact of 4 prospective developments.
2.1.3. The location of the prospective developments and their relationship to LLITM5.1 zones is shown in Figure 2-1 below.


Figure 2-1: Location of prospective additional Blaby housing to be assessed
2.1.4. A total of 1000 new dwellings are to be tested in the 4 model zones identified above:

- New zone (west of existing zone 6033) (+750 dwellings)
- Existing zone 6032 (+129 dwellings)
- Existing zone 6033 ( +91 dwellings)
- Existing zone 6027 ( +30 dwellings)
2.1.5. For the purpose of this assessment it has been agreed that only the LLITM highway model needs to be used. The following is an outline summary of the modelling work to be undertaken:
- Check core scenario network coding and update where necessary (to include any updated infrastructure or new model zones);
- Build new trip matrices to account for additional trips generated by new housing developments;
- Run and analyse assignment outputs for 2016 (Core) and 2031 (Core, Development Only) AM and PM Peak scenarios.
2.1.6. The specific detail of this approach is contained in the 'methodology' section 4 below.


### 2.2. Model Overview

2.2.1. The Leicester and Leicestershire Integrated Transport Model (LLITM) consists of four principal components:

- Highway supply model developed in SATURN;
- Public transport supply model, developed in EMME;
- Variable demand model, built in EMME;
- Land-use model, built in bespoke DELTA software.
2.2.2. The base year of the model is 2008 with full forecasts being available for years 2011, 2016, 2021, 2026, and 2031.


### 2.3. Report Structure

### 2.3.1. Section 3 details the validation of the network in the vicinity of the proposed developments.

2.3.2. Section 4 details the outline methodology undertaken in extracting the 2016 and 2031 forecast information from LLITM.
2.3.3. Section 5 details an overview of the results supplied to the client.

### 2.4. File References

2016_Core_AM_blp.UFS 2016_Core_PM_blp.UFS 2031_Core_AM_blp.UFS
2031_Core_PM_blp.UFS
2031_Dev_AM_blp.UFS
2031_Dev_PM_blp.UFS
2016_sp_Core_AM.ufm
2016_sp_Core_PM.ufm
2031_sp_Core_AM_blp.ufm
2031_sp_Core_PM_blp.ufm
2031_sp_DEV_AM_blp_v4_FINAL.ufm
2031_sp_DEV_PM_blp_v4_FINAL.ufm
Modelling working folder:
Y:\LCC\Project\Modelling_Project_Folders\Blaby_Local_PlanSeptember_2017

## 3. Model Validation

### 3.1. LLITM Validation

3.1.1. The LLITM is LCC's principal transportation forecasting tool for the County and Leicester City. Within the county boundary travel decisions are modelled in detail, whilst beyond, a less detailed approach is adopted to account for 'external' trips using the county's network.
3.1.2. LLITM has been built and validated to be compliant with the Department for Transport's WebTAG guidance. Whilst at the wider area LLITM meets WebTAG
3.1.3. Guidance it is necessary to review model suitability in the area of influence of any scheme/development being assessed. This has been done by considering the 2008 base year fit of observed and assigned traffic flows and journey times in line with WebTAG acceptability guidelines (unit M3.1).

### 3.2. Observed vs Modelled Flows

3.2.1. WebTAG compliance for traffic flows is governed by meeting the following acceptability rules in at least $85 \%$ of cases:

- Individual flows within 100 veh/hour of counts for flows less than 700 veh/hour
- Individual flows within $15 \%$ of counts for flows from 700 to 2,700 veh/hour; or
- Individual flows within 400 veh/hour of counts for flows more than 2,700 veh/hour; and
- GEH values of $<5$ for individual flows.
3.2.2. A local area review of the 2008 base year highway model for AM and PM peak hours is shown in Figures 3.1 and 3.2 respectively where,
- Green links signify modelled flows compliant with WebTAG;
- Red links signify a WebTAG non-compliance where modelled flows are excessively larger than observed counts; and
- Blue links signify WebTAG non-compliance where modelled flows are excessively less than observed counts.


Figure 3-1: 2008 Base Modelled Flows and Count Data, AM Peak


Figure 3-2: 2008 Base Modelled Flows and Count Data, PM Peak
3.2.3. These results demonstrate that the count sites in the immediate vicinity of the study area show a reasonable fit against modelled flows.
3.2.4. In the AM Peak, there are some minor issues evident in the vicinity of the Wembley Road industrial estate (located to the north of the A47 to the east of the M1) whilst the western approach to Braunstone cross roads is over assigning inbound and under-assigning outbound. In part, this is due to the close proximity of a LLITM zone loading point. However, at other key junctions such as Desford Crossroads and A47/Outer District Distributor (ODDR), a good validation fit is evident.
3.2.5. In the PM Peak, model fit is generally good although there is a recurrence, albeit to a lesser degree, of the Wembley Road industrial estate issue.

### 3.3. Observed vs Modelled Journey Times

3.3.1. For journey time validation WebTAG acceptability guidance requires for $85 \%$ of routes:

- Modelled times along routes should be within $15 \%$ of surveyed times (or 1 minute, if higher than 15\%).
3.3.2. In the LLITM v5 Highway Model Local Model Validation Report (LMVR)1, journey time analysis on a number of key routes is undertaken to compare modelled times in the 2008 Base against observed times.
3.3.3. There are two routes which traverse the study area for this project; A47 Leicester Forest East - Leicester City Centre, and A563 (ODDR) between Beaumont Leys Lane and Fosse Park. Figure 3-3 (below) shows the location of these routes.
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Figure 3-3: Journey time validation routes
3.3.4. The Highway Model LMVR provides tables showing the absolute and percentage difference in journey times between the 2008 Base modelled times and observed times. These differences are presented in Table 3-1.

| Route | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Abs. | $\%$ | Pass | Abs. | $\%$ | Pass |
| A47 LFE Inbound | $03: 43$ | $21.5 \%$ | No | $00: 46$ | $5.7 \%$ | Yes |
| A47 LFE Outbound | $01: 08$ | $9.0 \%$ | Yes | $01: 17$ | $8.1 \%$ | Yes |
| A563 ODDR2 Clockwise | $00: 42$ | $5.3 \%$ | Yes | $-00: 44$ | $-4.8 \%$ | Yes |
| A563 ODDR2 Anti-Clockwise | $-00: 24$ | $-2.7 \%$ | Yes | $01: 22$ | $10.1 \%$ | Yes |

Table 3-1: Journey time validation statistics, LLITM v5 Highway Model LMVR
3.3.5. The A47 LFE inbound route in the AM Peak is over 3.5 minutes slower in LLITM than observed and is the only route to fail the WebTAG criteria. Figure 3-4 shows the time/distance plot for this route and indicates the main deviation occurs around the Braunstone Lane crossroads.


Figure 3-4: A47 LFE inbound route time/distance plot, LLITM v5 Highway Model LMVR
3.3.6. The inbound A47 stretch from Kirby Lane through Braunstone crossroads towards the Outer District Distributor Road (ODDR) is a notorious congestion hotspot during the AM peak hour where journey time variation can be high.
3.3.7. Ideally, some re-calibration along this stretch would have tightened the model fit but the nature of the study and the fact that the general flow and journey time fit is good around this stretch should not be prohibitive.
3.3.8. The general level of 2008 Base year validation is good implying that the LLITM5.1 highway model is fit for the purposes of this commission.

## 4. Methodology

### 4.1. Specified Outputs from Brief

4.1.1. It has been agreed with the client consultants Edwards \& Edwards that the following output be supplied from the LLITM:

- SATURN bandwidth plots showing 2016/2031 (AM \& PM peak hour) directional flow changes on each link for:
o 2031-2016 Core
o 2031 Development Only-2031 Core (background growth)
(development impact)
- Cordon area statistics, including total vehicle distance (pcu.Kms), total vehicle travel time (pcu.hrs), over-capacity queues (pcu.hrs) and average speed (Kph), for the following:
- 5\% flow difference area of influence
- Inner cordon defined by the client
- Local traffic impact, including volume/capacity ratios, turning delays and volumes on key junctions (see fluorescent blue squares on Figure 4-1for location):
o Beggars Lane/A47, Hinckley Road
o Kirby Lane/A47, Hinckley Road
o Braunstone Lane/A47, Hinckley Road
o A563, ODDR/A47, Hinckley Road
- Identification of junctions within a $2 \%$ flow difference area of interest having V/C ratios between $85-100 \%$ and $>100 \%$ in the core
- Identification of additional junctions pushed into V/C ratio ranges between scenarios:
o Development Only and Core
- Local traffic impact, including travel time, average speed, and traffic (pcu.Km) for the following areas (see coloured routes on Figure 4-1 for location):

1. A47 between the Inner Ring Road and ODDR
2. A47 between the ODDR and the A47 development site
3. A47 between the A47 development site and Desford Crossroads
4. B5380, Ratby Lane between the A47 and the roundabout to Kirby Muxloe
5. Braunstone Lane between the A47 and bridge over the A563, Lubbesthorpe Way
6. Kirby Muxloe on Kirby Lane near to the A47
7. Kirby Muxloe on Main Street
8. Kirby Muxloe on Desford Road


Figure 4-1: Route and junction locations for client specified output
4.1.2. The following methodology was undertaken to produce the above outputs.

### 4.2. Network Coding:

4.2.1. Created a new zone centroid connector, for the 750 residential units development, to the north of the A47 (new zone 6620).
4.2.2. Included new zone and associated coding in core network (to allow comparable network comparisons between core and development scenarios).
4.2.3. Updated Desford Crossroads scheme coding in 2031 core (previously coded as one-lane plus flare but now two-lane plus flare entry points) (see Figure 4-2).
4.2.4. Removed unrealistic "rat-run" routeing option that would have allowed trips to bypass A47/Kirby Lane junction (Kings Drive/Rushmere Walk/Stafford Leys).


Figure 4-2: Desford Crossroad scheme coding design

### 4.3. Matrix Building:

4.3.1. For 2031 'development' matrices, a new zone was allocated (6620) to existing matrices for the larger development of 750 dwellings to the north of the A47.
4.3.2. The trip distribution from zone 6031 was copied to zone 6620, and row and column totals factored to the trip end totals for 750 dwellings.
4.3.3. The remaining three development zones ( $6027+30$ dwellings, 6032 +129 dwellings, and $6033+91$ dwellings) were furnessed to match the updated trip end totals reflecting the additional trips generated by developments.
4.3.4. The generation of trip ends from the supplied trip rates is displayed in the below tables. An expected total (i.e. existing trip ends + additional generated trip ends), and a final total (i.e. the assigned total after matrix balancing has taken place) is presented.

| Zone | New <br> Units |  | Trip Rate | Additional <br> Trip Ends | Existing <br> Trip Ends | Expected <br> Trip Ends | Final Matrix <br> Trip Ends |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 750 | O | 0.367 | 275 | 0 | 275 | 274 |
|  |  | D | 0.131 | 98 | 0 | 98 | 98 |
| 6032 | 129 | O | 0.367 | 47 | 706 | 753 | 756 |
|  |  | D | 0.131 | 17 | 450 | 467 | 466 |
| 6033 | 91 | O | 0.367 | 34 | 466 | 500 | 477 |
|  |  | D | 0.131 | 12 | 1077 | 1089 | 1109 |
| 6027 | 30 | O | 0.367 | 11 | 153 | 164 | 164 |
|  |  | D | 0.131 | 4 | 134 | 138 | 138 |

Table 4-1: Trip generation for development zones, AM Peak

| Zone | New <br> Units |  | Trip Rate | Additional <br> Trip Ends | Existing <br> Trip Ends | Control <br> Trip Ends | Final Matrix <br> Trip Ends |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6620 | 750 | O | 0.184 | 138 | 0 | 138 | 139 |
|  |  | D | 0.309 | 232 | 0 | 232 | 235 |
| 6032 | 129 | O | 0.184 | 24 | 429 | 453 | 453 |
|  |  | D | 0.309 | 40 | 455 | 495 | 494 |
| 6033 | 91 | O | 0.184 | 17 | 576 | 593 | 596 |
|  |  | D | 0.309 | 28 | 883 | 911 | 916 |
| 6027 | 30 | O | 0.184 | 6 | 197 | 203 | 203 |
|  |  | D | 0.309 | 9 | 236 | 245 | 245 |

Table 4-2: Trip generation for development zones, PM Peak
4.3.5. The differences between expected and final trip ends for the development zones are negligible in most cases. However, for zone 6033 (AM peak), the matrix has approximately 20 trips too few for origin trips, and 20 trips too many for destination trips. The matrix balancing
which is undertaken during the furnessing process is likely to have caused this issue. However, due to the relatively small magnitude of the error, it would be disproportionate to attempt to further investigate the disparity in values.

### 4.4. Highway Assignments:

4.4.1. Peak hour assignments were run for the following scenarios:
o 2016 Core
o 2031 Core
o 2031 Development (i.e. Core +1000 dwellings)

### 4.5. Area of Influence:

4.5.1. Area of influence defined by considering flow differences (AM \& PM combined) between 2031 Core and 2031 Development scenarios in excess of $+/-5 \%$.
4.5.2. To minimise highlighting $5 \%$ link increase with small absolute link flow values, links were only considered which had a flow of >200 PCUs in either the Core or Development scenario.
4.5.3. Figure $4-3$ shows the $5 \%$ area of influence, where green links show $5 \%$ flow increases, and blue links show 5\% flow decreases.


Figure 4-3: 5\% Area of Influence

## 5. Results

### 5.1. Unit Definitions

5.1.1. In the work undertaken here the unit of traffic flow is expressed in passenger car units per hour (pcus/hr). The concept of the pcu is used to convert different vehicle types to a standard passenger car unit for ease and accuracy of assessment. It is particularly relevant when modelling junction capacity where the type and proportion of specific vehicle types is a critical determinant to the design process. In LLITM the following relationships are used to convert vehicles to pcu's:

- OGV1/OGV2 2pcu's
- PSV
- Car

2pcu's

- LGV

1 pcu
1 pcu
5.1.2. The results are supplied to the client in a zipped file in either Maplnfo or Excel format, for the 5\% area of influence (apart from volume/capacity plots which are supplied to $2 \%$ area of influence).

### 5.2. Bandwidth Plots

5.2.1. LLITM peak hour (AM and PM) forecast directional flow difference plots (PCUs) within the 5\% area of influence have been reported for the following scenarios:
o 2031-2016 Core (background growth)
o 2031 Development Only-2031 Core (development impact)
5.2.2. Please note that, for each bandwidth plot presented in this report, any motorway link output has been omitted to avoid masking any changes on minor roads close to the M1 and M69.

Impact of background growth (2031-2016 Core)
5.2.3. Figure $5-1$ and Figure $5-2$ show the forecast impact of 2016 to 2031 background growth for AM and PM peak hours respectively.


Figure 5-1: Actual flow difference plots within 5\% Aol, 2031 AM Core - 2016 AM Core


Figure 5-2: Actual flow difference plots within 5\% Aol, 2031 PM Core - 2016 PM Core
5.2.4. It can be seen that the majority of links see an increase in flow commensurate with increased future population and car ownership projections. There are, however, three notable exceptions where link flows are forecast to fall:


Beggars Lane south of the Lubbesthorpe Strategic Urban Extension (SUE) access.(AM and PM peak hours)- Braunstone Lane between the A47, Hinckley Road and Narborough Road. (PM peak hour)

- Lubbesthorpe Way (ODDR) between Hinckley Rd, A47 \& Meridian (PM peak hour)
5.2.5. In all cases, the impact of the new bridge $\square$ crossing the M1 and linking the Lubbesthorpe SUE with Lubbesthorpe Way is forecast to offer an attractive alternative to the Principal Urban Area (PUA) from the heavily congested A47 radial route.

Impact of Development (203 1with development - 2031 Core)
5.2.6. Figure $5-3$ and Figure $5-4$ display the corresponding 2031 flow difference plots showing the forecast impact of the proposed developments.


Figure 5-3: Actual flow difference plots within 5\% Aol, 2031 AM Development - 2031 AM Core


Figure 5-4: Actual flow difference plots within 5\% Aol, 2031 PM Development - 2031 PM Core
5.2.7. In the AM Peak hour, the flow difference plot shows a decrease in trips using the A47 between Kirby Lane and the Braunstone Lane/Ratby Lane crossroads and is an indication of worsening congestion along this radial. This is corroborated later in the report when considering junction and journey time performance metrics.
5.2.8. Given that the main junctions on this route are already either at, or nearing, capacity in the AM peak hour it is no surprise the network struggles to accommodate the additional development traffic which heads towards the Leicester PUA.
5.2.9. The Kirby Lane/A47 junction epitomises the demand pressures exerted on this part of the network and is characterised by volume/capacity figures in excess of $100 \%$ for all turning movements in 2031 (see Table 8-3).
5.2.10. The addition of the development trips contributes to increasing delay per PCU figures at the Kirby Lane/A47 junction by approximately 10 seconds (see Table 5-5). The close proximity of the largest development to this radial means that longer distance trips are displaced by this congestion as can be seen from the difference plots.
5.2.11. The most popular alternative inbound route utilises the Lubbesthorpe Bridge over the M1 with an increase of approximately 90 PCUs in the AM Peak hour. Other routes inbound to the PUA such as Desford Road, Leicester Lane, Narborough Road and Ratby Road also show increases but on a reduced scale.
5.2.12. In the PM Peak hour, the A47 between Kirby Lane and the Braunstone Lane/Ratby Lane crossroads is forecast to increase flow due to there being some spare capacity (see Table 5-6).
5.2.13. The route using the Lubbesthorpe Bridge over the M1 remains attractive in the PM peak hour but on a smaller scale than in the morning.

### 5.3. Area of Influence Summary Statistics

5.3.1. When looking at the highway impact of a development and/or scheme it is useful to gauge the performance over the wider area. This is usually done by identifying an area of influence/interest, in which benefits/disbenefits accrue, in order to provide relevant time, distance and congestion statistics.
5.3.2. The client has specifically requested area wide statistics within 2 cordons:
o An area of influence (aoi) defined by consideration of percentage link flow changes beyond $\pm 5 \%$.
o An inner cordon specified by the client.

- $\pm 5 \%$. Area of Influence
5.3.3. Figure $5-5$ shows the extent of the previously defined area of influence (Section 4.4) together with the component links of the SATURN highway network contained within this area.


Figure 5-5: 5\% Area of Influence, 2031 SATURN Network
5.3.4. Peak hour Area of Influence summary statistics are presented in Table 5-1 and Table 5-2 for each of the 3 scenarios; $2016 \& 2031$ core and 2031 with development. In order to provide more local clarity it is worthy of note that the figures associated with the motorway links (marked red in Figure 5-5) have been removed to avoid them overwhelming these statistics.

| AM Peak | 2016 Core | 2031 Core | Diff <br> (31 Core - <br> 16 Core) | 2031 <br> Developme <br> nt | Diff <br> (31 Dev - 31 <br> Core) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Over-Capacity <br> (pcu.hrs) | Queues | 290.4 | 472.8 | 182.4 <br> $(62.8 \%)$ | 498.3 | 25.5 <br> $(5.4 \%)$ |
| Total Travel <br> (pcu.hrs) | Time | $6,232.8$ | $7,830.8$ | $1,598.0$ <br> $(25.6 \%)$ | $7,927.7$ | 96.9 <br> $(1.2 \%)$ |
| Total Travel Distance <br> (pcu.kms) | $203,285.1$ | $232,477.1$ | $29,192.0$ <br> $(14.4 \%)$ | $234,630.9$ | $2,153.8$ <br> $(0.9 \%)$ |  |
| Average Speed <br> (kph) | 32.6 | 29.7 | -2.9 <br> $(-8.9 \%)$ | 29.6 | -0.1 <br> $(-0.3 \%)$ |  |

Table 5-1: AM Peak summary statistics, $5 \%$ Aol

| PM Peak | 2016 Core | 2031 Core | Diff <br> (31 Core - <br> 16 Core) | 2031 <br> Developme <br> nt | Diff <br> (31 Dev - 31 <br> Core) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Over-Capacity Queues <br> (pcu.hrs) | 222.1 | 676.2 | 454.1 <br> $(204.5 \%)$ | 699.3 | 23.1 <br> $(3.4 \%$ |  |
| Total Travel <br> (pcu.hrs) | Time | $6,108.2$ | $7,840.2$ | $1,732.0$ <br> $(28.4 \%)$ | $7,952.8$ | 112.6 <br> $(1.4 \%)$ |
| Total Travel Distance <br> (pcu.kms) | $197,678.5$ | $230,397.3$ | $32,718.8$ <br> $(16.6 \%)$ | $232,310.9$ | $1,913.6$ <br> $(0.8 \%)$ |  |
| Average Speed <br> (kph) | 32.4 | 29.4 | -3.0 <br> $(-9.3 \%)$ | 29.2 | -0.2 <br> $(-0.7 \%)$ |  |

Table 5-2: PM Peak summary statistics, 5\% Aol

## Background Growth: 2016 vs 2031 Core

5.3.5. It can be seen that there has been an increase in congestion and a reduction in network performance as the demand for travel has increased between 2016 and 2031. In both peak hours there has been a circa $9 \%$ reduction in average network speed from approximately 32.5 Kph to 29.5 Kph . This is a legacy of increases in over capacity queues, total travel times and distances. 2
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## Impact of Proposed Development: 2031 with vs 2031 without

5.3.6. Not surprisingly, the inclusion of an additional 1,000 dwellings has resulted in a further deterioration in network performance characterised by speed reductions of $0.3 \%$ and $0.7 \%$ for AM and PM peak hours respectively.

- Inner Cordon
5.3.7. Figure $5-6$ shows the extent of the client defined 'Inner Cordon', itself focussed on the local roads in the immediate vicinity of the developments, together with the component links of the SATURN highway network contained within this area.


Figure 5-6: "Local" Area of Influence, 2031 SATURN network
5.3.8. Peak hour summary statistics for this "local" area of influence are presented in Table 5-3 and Table 5-4 for each of the 3 scenarios; 2016 \& 2031 core and 2031 with development. Once again any figures associated with the motorway links have been excluded. In addition, those relating to the A46-Leicester Western Bypass have also been omitted too.

| AM Peak | 2016 Core | 2031 Core | Diff <br> (31 Core - <br> 16 Core) | 2031 <br> Developme <br> nt | Diff <br> (31 Dev - 31 <br> Core) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Over-Capacity Queues <br> (pcu.hrs) | 73.2 | 131.6 | 58.4 <br> $(79.8 \%)$ | 157.5 | 25.9 <br> $(19.7 \%)$ |  |
| Total Travel <br> (pcu.hrs) | Time | $1,216.5$ | $1,509.7$ | 293.2 <br> $(24.1 \%)$ | $1,555.0$ | 45.3 <br> $(3.0 \%)$ |
| Total Travel Distance <br> (pcu.kms) | $38,759.8$ | $42,278.5$ | $3,518.7$ <br> $(9.1 \%)$ | $43,187.7$ | 909.2 <br> $(2.2 \%)$ |  |
| Average Speed <br> (kph) | 31.9 | 28.0 | -3.9 <br> $(-12.2 \%)$ | 27.8 | -0.2 <br> $(-0.7 \%)$ |  |

Table 5-3: AM Peak summary statistics, "Local" Aol

| PM Peak | 2016 Core | 2031 Core | Diff <br> (31 Core - <br> 16 Core) | 2031 <br> Developme <br> nt | Diff <br> (31 Dev - 31 <br> Core) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Over-Capacity <br> (pcu.hrs) | Queues | 62.4 | 87.9 | 25.5 <br> $(40.9 \%)$ | 111.5 | 23.6 <br> $(26.8 \%)$ |
| Total Travel <br> (pcu.hrs) | Time | $1,221.6$ | $1,407.7$ | 186.1 <br> $(15.2 \%)$ | $1,486.2$ | 78.5 <br> $(5.6 \%)$ |
| Total Travel <br> (pcu.kms) | Distance | $40,698.2$ | $41,966.1$ | $1,267.9$ <br> $(3.1 \%)$ | $43,055.9$ | $1,089.8$ <br> $(2.6 \%)$ |
| Average Speed <br> (kph) | 33.3 | 29.8 | -3.5 <br> $(-10.5 \%)$ | 29.0 | -0.8 <br> $(-2.7 \%)$ |  |

Table 5-4: PM Peak summary statistics, "Local" Aol

## Background Growth: 2016 vs 2031 Core

5.3.9. The inner cordon is more congested than the wider ' $\pm 5 \%$ area', due to the exclusion of less congested links, but exhibits similar attributes to those discussed earlier.

Impact of Proposed Development: 2031 with vs 2031 without
5.3.10. A similar pattern emerges when considering the impact of the development with statistics slightly worse for this more congested area.

### 5.4. Local Traffic Impact: Key Junctions

5.4.1. The client requested the local traffic impact (volume/capacity, turning delays, and turning volumes) be considered for 4 key junctions (Figure $5-7$ ) in the vicinity of the proposed development.


Figure 5-7: Junctions of local traffic impact analysis
5.4.2. Appendix A contains the tables detailing the requested traffic statistics by turning movement for the four junctions as follows:

```
o Beggars Lane/A47
o Kirby Lane/A47
o Braunstone Lane/A47
o A563/A47
```

(Table 8-1, Table 8-2)
(Table 8-3, Table 8-4)
(Table 8-5, Table 8-6)
(Table 8-7, Table 8-8)
5.4.3. A useful way of comparing and summarising the results of Appendix A is to calculate the delay per pcu of the traffic using each junction by scenario.
5.4.4. Table 5-5 and Table 5-6 show the delay per PCU figures, by scenario, for AM and PM peak hours respectively.

| Delay per PCU (secs) | 2016 AM Core | 2031 AM Core | 2031 AM <br> Development |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beggars Lane/A47 | 11.8 | 14.9 | 15.9 |
| Kirby Lane/A47 | 45.3 | 107.4 | 117.1 |
| Braunstone Lane/A47 | 152.1 | 144.2 | 151.2 |
| ODDR/A47 | 34.9 | 76.8 | 65.6 |

Table 5-5: Junction delay per PCU (seconds), AM Peak

| Delay per PCU (secs | 2016 PM Core | 2031 PM Core | 2031 PM <br> Development |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beggars Lane/A47 | 56.8 | 47.2 | 51.5 |
| Kirby Lane/A47 | 29.7 | 86.9 | 88.4 |
| Braunstone Lane/A47 | 79.1 | 48.5 | 48.5 |
| ODDR/A47 | 34.0 | 51.3 | 82.7 |

Table 5-6: Junction delay per PCU (seconds), PM Peak

Background Growth: 2016 vs 2031 Core
5.4.5. There has been deterioration in junction performance, between 2016 and 2031, for the Kirby Lane and ODDR junctions with the A47 in both peak hours. The forecast delay increase at the Kirby Lane junction is severe rising by about 1 minute per pcu whilst the ODDR, despite improvements, worsens by about $40 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{pcu}$ in the AM and 20s/pcu in the PM peak hour.
5.4.6. By contrast there has been an improvement in junction efficiency at Braunstone Crossroads of the order of $10 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{pcu}$ in the AM and $30 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{pcu}$ in the PM. A key component of this improvement is likely to be due to the increase in junction capacity as part of the Lubbesthorpe SUE mitigation strategy.
5.4.7. The Beggars Lane junction has seen a marginal fall in performance in the AM but a decent improvement in the PM peak hour.

Impact of Proposed Development: 2031 with vs 2031 without
5.4.8. In the AM Peak, the Kirby Lane/A47 and Braunstone Lane/A47 junctions see delay increases per PCU of 10 seconds and 7 seconds respectively. This contrasts with the PM Peak where delays remain fairly stable between the Core and Development scenarios.
5.4.9. This corroborates the narrative of section 5.1 which mentioned that the A47 at these two junctions in the AM Peak is over-capacity. In particular, a number of turning movements have volume/capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratios exceeding $100 \%$ thus restricting the capability of the junction to absorb additional trips without significantly increasing vehicular delays. This contrasts with the PM core where there is some spare capacity and so additional demand can be adequately accommodated.
5.4.10. The ODDR/A47 junction displays more interesting results which warrant further analysis. The northern approach and turning movements, heading southbound down the ODDR (New Parks Way) experience significant delay relief in the AM Peak (approx. 60 seconds) but remain over-capacity. This explains why even though the delay figure is reduced, the flows on these turning movements remain similar. In the PM Peak however, significant delay increase are evident (approx. 150 seconds). This delay increase is coupled with a significant flow increase on the right-hand turn (approx. 90 PCUs), which is coded as a flared lane and not a dedicated high-capacity right-hand turn lane (Figure 5-8). This turning movement therefore seems to struggle with the increased quantity of right-turns in the 2031 PM Development scenario, unlike other right-turn movements on the junction which have both a flared right turnlane and a dedicated standard right-turn lane (and therefore more capacity).


Figure 5-8: ODDR/A47 junction coding, 2031 Core

### 5.5. Local Traffic Impact: Key Routes

5.5.1. Figure $5-9$ shows the eight client specified route locations for which travel times, speeds and flow weighted distance metrics have been extracted with the specific detail contained in Appendix B.


Figure 5-9: Route Locations for client specified output
5.5.2. Journey times are measured from the stop-line on the start junction. Along the route, link times and turning movement (straight ahead) times are calculated. This accounts for link traversal and any subsequent delay at the node. At the final junction, the route is deemed as having ended once the final turning movement has been made. This means that the final junction is always cleared.
5.5.3. With the exception of route 2 the other routes show relatively modest changes and so are left to the reader for review. However, the A47 route between the development and the ODDR is worthy of comment, in the context of the proposed development, and is discussed below.
5.5.4. Table 9-3 and Table 9-4 show the summary metrics for route 2 with the more significant development impact values highlighted in green.
5.5.5. It can be seen that in the AM peak hour there is over a 40 s increase in journey time inbound (eastbound) to the PUA as a consequence of the development.
5.5.6. In the PM peak hour the LLITM forecasts a near 20s increase to both, the inbound (eastbound) and outbound (westbound) routes.

## Route 2

| Direction | Metric | 2016 AM Core | 2031 AM Core | 2031 AM <br> Development | 2031 Dev- <br> 2031 Core |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Travel Time (secs) | 660.1 | 716.7 | 759.4 | 42.70 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 18.8 | 17.2 | 16.2 | -1.00 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 2949.8 | 2939.5 | 2959.6 | 20.10 |
| Westbound | Travel Time (secs) | 364.3 | 432.5 | 426.1 | -6.40 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 33.8 | 28.5 | 28.9 | 0.40 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 2522.8 | 3047.2 | 2906 | -141.20 |

Table 5-7: Route 2 summary statistics, AM Peak

| Direction | Metric | 2016 PM Core | 2031 PM Core | 2031 PM <br> Development | 2031 Dev- <br> 2031 Core |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Travel Time (secs) | 418.4 | 572.1 | 591.9 | 19.80 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 29.6 | 21.6 | 20.8 | -0.80 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 2850.9 | 3254.7 | 3324.4 | 69.70 |
| Westbound | Avg. Speed (kph) | 27.6 | 392.3 | 410.1 | 17.80 |
|  | Travel Time (secs) | 446.9 | 31.4 | 30.1 | -1.30 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 2851.6 | 3561.7 | 3707 | 145.30 |

Table 5-8: Route 2 summary statistics, PM Peak
5.5.7. More detail of the junction performance along each section of route 2 is revealed by using LLITM output to derive corresponding distance-time graphs for each of the scenarios.
5.5.8. Figure $5-10$ shows the $A M$ inbound profile and highlights clearly where the impact of the development begins to 'bite'; namely, from the Kirby Lane and Braunstone crossroads junctions.
5.5.9. The route starts having cleared the first junction (i.e. on the stopline). The subsequent points on the chart represent the times at which the junction is cleared.


Figure 5-10: Route 2 Dev Site > ODDR time/junction plot, AM


Figure 5-11: Route 2 ODDR > Dev Site time/junction plot, AM
5.5.10. The AM outbound profile is shown in Figure 5-11 and shows little difference between sectional journey times for 2031 with and without scenarios.
5.5.11. The corresponding PM peak hour profiles are shown in Figure 5-12 and Figure 5-13 below. The inbound direction follows a similar trajectory to the AM, albeit at a more reduced level. For outbound movements any changes are marginal but there is a slight worsening of congestion from Braunstone crossroads, Kirby Lane an(1)eggars Lane.


Figure 5-12: Route 2 Dev Site > ODDR time/junction plot, PM


Figure 5-13: Route 2 ODDR > Dev Site time/junction plot, PM

### 5.6. Wider Area of Influence Volume/Capacity Ratios

5.6.1. Figure $5-14$ and Figure $5-15$ show those junctions having at least one turning movement approaching capacity in the respective 2016 AM and PM future forecasts. The measure of this performance is expressed by the volume over capacity ( $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ ) metric with two levels of congestion identified here:

- Early onset of junction breakdow
- Junction breakdown

V/C $85 \%$ to $100 \%$
V/C >100\%
5.6.2. Output has been shown at the $\pm 5 \%$ aoi.
5.6.3. In a similar fashion to the above, Figure $5-16$ and Figure $5-17$ show the volume over capacity relationships for the 2031 AM and PM peak hour forecasts respectively.

## 2016 Core



Figure 5-14: Over-capacity junctions within 5\% Aol, 2016 AM Peak


Figure 5-15: Over-capacity junctions within 5\% Aol, 2016 PM Peak

## 2031 Core



Figure 5-16: Over-capacity junctions within 2\% Aol, 2031 AM Peak


Figure 5-17: Over-capacity junctions within 5\% Aol, 2031 PM Peak

## 2031 Development (Changes from 2031 Core)

5.6.4. The impact of the development in terms of the volume over capacity metric has been isolated for 2031 AM and PM peak hours in Figure 5-18 and Figure 5-19 respectively. This has been achieved by identifying only those junctions which are 'flagged' on our ' $85 \%-100 \%$ ' scale due to the development when compared with the standard 2031 core output
5.6.5. Due to the fact that some junctions may move between classifications, emerge into or drop out of them, it is necessary to define the 5 levels shown in Table 5-9.

| NO Development | WITH Development |
| :---: | :---: |
| $<85 \%$ | $>100 \%$ |
| 85 to $100 \%$ | $>100 \%$ |
| $<85 \%$ | 85 to $100 \%$ |
| 85 to $100 \%$ | $<85 \%$ |
| $>100 \%$ | 85 to $100 \%$ |
| $>100 \%$ | $<85 \%$ |

Table 5-9: Revised V/C levels for comparing 2031 core with/without Development


Figure 5-18: Over-capacity junctions within 5\% AOI, differences from 2031 AM Core to 2031 AM Development


Figure 5-19: Over-capacity junctions within 5\% Aol, differences from 2031 PM Core to 2031 PM Development

## 6. Summary

6.1.1. This report has used the LLITM5.1 highway model to test the impact of an additional 1,000 dwellings for Blaby District Council's consultants, E\&E, in the vicinity of Leicester Forest East and Braunstone Town.
6.1.2. Having identified the area of influence (aoi) associated with the development, from knowledge of the displaced traffic caused by it, a review of model suitability was demonstrated prior to running the following peak hour highway scenario assignments:

- 2016 Core
- 2031 Core
- 2031 Core + development
6.1.3. A measure of the forecast background growth has been provided by comparing the 2016 and 2031 core scenarios whilst the impact of the development has involved comparison of 2031 forecast year, 'with' versus 'without', development scenarios
6.1.4. Most links within the aoi experience an increase in background flow between 2016 and 2031 with 3 notable exceptions:
- Beggars Lane to the south of the Lubbesthorpe SUE (AM \& PM).
- Braunstone Lane to the east of the A47 (PM).
- Lubbesthorpe Way/ODDR between A47, Hinckley Rd and Meridian (PM)
6.1.5. The principal reason for relief on these links relates to improved connectivity with the PUA offered by the 'M1-bridge' crossing (SUE mitigation measure).
6.1.6. In general journey times increase on the measured routes between forecast years 2016 and 2031. However, there is some localised improvement for outbound A47 traffic using Braunstone Crossroads. This is a legacy of capacity improvements implemented as part of the Lubbesthorpe SUE mitigation strategy in 2026.
6.1.7. The effect of the additional housing is dominated by the 750 dwellings loaded onto the A47 west of Beggars Lane. This is not surprising, given the heavily congested nature of this radial towards the PUA.
6.1.8. Preliminary results suggest:
- Increased congestion on the A47 between Kirby Lane and the ODDR.
- An attractive alternative route through the Lubbesthorpe SUE and over the new M1-bridge towards Meridian.
- A dispersion of longer distance trips better able to divert around the additional congestion.
- Increased flows through Kirby Muxloe
- Increased flows on the B582


## 7. Contact Details

We trust that this report meets your requirements and we look forward to having the opportunity to work with you again in the future.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact:
Tom Baker
ET-CF \& LLITM Framework Manager
Network Data \& Intelligence
Environment \& Transport Department
Leicestershire County Council

Tel: 01163057323
Email: tom.baker@leics.gov.uk

## 8. Appendix A: Client Specified Junction Analysis

## 1. Beggars Lane/A47, Hinckley Rd

| Beggars Lane /A47: AM Peak |  | Actual Flow (pcus) |  |  | Delay (seconds) |  |  | V/C Ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Turn | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2031 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2031 \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ | 2016 Core | $\begin{aligned} & 2031 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2031 \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2031 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2031 \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ |
| East (A47 WB) | Left | 501 | 603 | 581 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 62 | 73 | 71 |
|  | Straight | 579 | 705 | 682 | 11 | 14 | 13 | 65 | 81 | 78 |
| South (Beggars Lane) | Left | 31 | 139 | 159 | 24 | 26 | 26 | 9 | 41 | 47 |
|  | Right | 136 | 147 | 146 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 40 | 43 | 43 |
| West (A47 EB) | Straight | 508 | 560 | 576 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 51 | 57 | 58 |
|  | Right | 26 | 90 | 233 | 16 | 23 | 28 | 7 | 33 | 81 |

Table 8-1: Beggars Lane/A47 junction turning movement statistics, AM Peak

| Beggars Lane /A47: PM Peak |  | Actual Flow (pcus) |  |  | Delay (seconds) |  |  | V/C Ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Turn | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2031 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2031 \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2031 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2031 \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ | 2016 Core | $\begin{aligned} & 2031 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2031 \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ |
| East (A47 WB) | Left | 205 | 329 | 342 | 9 | 13 | 14 | 25 | 46 | 53 |
|  | Straight | 598 | 817 | 861 | 11 | 17 | 19 | 66 | 90 | 95 |
| South (Beggars Lane) | Left | 25 | 82 | 121 | 195 | 123 | 130 | 105 | 101 | 102 |
|  | Right | 442 | 426 | 428 | 195 | 123 | 130 | 105 | 101 | 102 |
| West (A47 EB) | Straight | 581 | 885 | 891 | 13 | 43 | 47 | 64 | 98 | 98 |
|  | Right | 48 | 97 | 136 | 19 | 58 | 65 | 13 | 80 | 88 |

Table 8-2: Beggars Lane/A47 junction turning movement statistics, PM Peak
2. Kirby Lane/A47

| Kirby Lane /A47: AM Peak |  | Actual Flow (pcus) |  |  | Delay (seconds) |  |  | V/C Ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Turn | $2016$ <br> Core | $\begin{aligned} & 2031 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2031 \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ | $2016$ <br> Core | $\begin{aligned} & 2031 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2031 \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ | $2016$ <br> Core | $\begin{aligned} & 2031 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2031 \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { East (A47 } \\ & \text { WB) } \end{aligned}$ | Left | 402 | 490 | 423 | 7 | 85 | 86 | 40 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Straight | 287 | 251 | 250 | 24 | 99 | 101 | 86 | 100 | 100 |
| South-West (A47 EB) | Left | 225 | 311 | 350 | 36 | 85 | 102 | 78 | 100 | 101 |
|  | Right | 391 | 366 | 328 | 36 | 85 | 102 | 84 | 100 | 101 |
| North-West (Kirby Lane) | Straight | 90 | 83 | 78 | 132 | 197 | 205 | 100 | 104 | 104 |
|  | Right | 210 | 218 | 219 | 138 | 203 | 211 | 100 | 104 | 104 |

Table 8-3: Kirby Lane/A47 junction turning movement statistics, AM Peak

| Kirby Lane /A47: PM Peak |  | Actual Flow (pcus) |  |  | Delay (seconds) |  |  | V/C Ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Turn | $2016$ <br> Core | $\begin{aligned} & 2031 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2031 \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ | $2016$ <br> Core | $2031$ <br> Core | $\begin{gathered} 2031 \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ | $2016$ <br> Core | $\begin{aligned} & 2031 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2031 \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ |
| East (A47 WB) | Left | 456 | 625 | 654 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 46 | 63 | 65 |
|  | Straight | 128 | 238 | 240 | 21 | 28 | 28 | 38 | 88 | 88 |
| South-West (A47 EB) | Left | 293 | 318 | 319 | 43 | 144 | 153 | 86 | 103 | 104 |
|  | Right | 308 | 356 | 358 | 43 | 144 | 153 | 85 | 103 | 104 |
| North-West (Kirby Lane) | Straight | 155 | 193 | 248 | 34 | 142 | 132 | 65 | 102 | 102 |
|  | Right | 199 | 208 | 207 | 44 | 149 | 139 | 96 | 102 | 102 |

Table 8-4: Kirby Lane/A47 junction turning movement statistics, PM Peak

## 3. Braunstone Lane/A47

| Braunstone Lane/A47: AM Peak |  | Actual Flow (pcus) |  |  | Delay (seconds) |  |  | V/C Ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Turn | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2031 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2031 \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2031 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2031 \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ | 2016 Core | $\begin{aligned} & 2031 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2031 \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ |
| North (Ratby Lane) | Left | 122 | 184 | 175 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 15 | 23 | 22 |
|  | Straight | 241 | 291 | 283 | 29 | 31 | 30 | 35 | 43 | 42 |
|  | Right | 213 | 235 | 211 | 43 | 45 | 43 | 48 | 53 | 47 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { East (A47 } \\ & \text { WB) } \end{aligned}$ | Left | 77 | 101 | 104 | 66 | 30 | 30 | 77 | 24 | 25 |
|  | Straight | 581 | 744 | 725 | 74 | 35 | 35 | 98 | 62 | 61 |
|  | Right | 50 | 57 | 57 | 153 | 196 | 196 | 76 | 87 | 87 |
| South (Braunstone Lane) | Left | 43 | 19 | 14 | 339 | 452 | 455 | 109 | 115 | 116 |
|  | Straight | 200 | 241 | 246 | 339 | 452 | 455 | 109 | 115 | 116 |
|  | Right | 60 | 61 | 62 | 293 | 332 | 342 | 100 | 102 | 103 |
| West (A47 <br> EB) | Left | 139 | 201 | 203 | 198 | 198 | 209 | 105 | 105 | 105 |
|  | Straight | 1132 | 1063 | 1050 | 198 | 198 | 209 | 105 | 105 | 105 |
|  | Right | 92 | 92 | 94 | 249 | 250 | 270 | 100 | 100 | 101 |

Table 8-5: Braunstone Lane/A47 junction turning movement statistics, AM Peak

| Braunstone Lane/A47: PM Peak |  | Actual Flow (pcus) |  |  | Delay (seconds) |  |  | V/C Ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Turn | 2016 <br> Core | $\begin{aligned} & 2031 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2031 \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ | 2016 <br> Core | $\begin{aligned} & 2031 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2031 \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ | 2016 <br> Core | $\begin{aligned} & 2031 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2031 \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ |
| North (Ratby Lane) | Left | 77 | 251 | 252 | 27 | 32 | 32 | 13 | 42 | 42 |
|  | Straight | 290 | 349 | 352 | 62 | 88 | 91 | 76 | 91 | 92 |
|  | Right | 44 | 46 | 46 | 205 | 219 | 219 | 84 | 87 | 87 |
| East (A47 WB) | Left | 8 | 102 | 117 | 129 | 18 | 18 | 102 | 20 | 23 |
|  | Straight | 884 | 1093 | 1130 | 132 | 24 | 24 | 102 | 63 | 65 |
|  | Right | 60 | 26 | 35 | 77 | 68 | 70 | 46 | 19 | 26 |
| South (Braunstone Lane) | Left | 224 | 141 | 143 | 124 | 113 | 113 | 102 | 99 | 99 |
|  | Straight | 203 | 314 | 311 | 91 | 113 | 112 | 84 | 95 | 95 |
|  | Right | 27 | 43 | 44 | 114 | 155 | 160 | 45 | 72 | 73 |
| West (A47 <br> EB) | Left | 167 | 159 | 165 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 39 | 38 | 40 |
|  | Straight | 910 | 917 | 931 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 61 | 61 | 62 |
|  | Right | 53 | 60 | 65 | 80 | 84 | 87 | 45 | 51 | 55 |

Table 8-6: Braunstone Lane/A47 junction turning movement statistics, PM Peak

## 4. A563, ODDR/A47

(Note: For this junction in the 2016 core network the complexities in the coding means turning movements can only be revealed by running a select link analysis. Due to time restraints this was not done but can be on request).

| A563 (ODDR)/A47: AM <br> Peak |  | Actual Flow <br> (pcus |  | Delay (seconds) |  | V/C Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Turn | $\mathbf{2 0 3 1}$ <br> Core | $\mathbf{2 0 3 1}$ <br> Dev | 2031 <br> Core | $\mathbf{2 0 3 1}$ <br> Dev | $\mathbf{2 0 3 1}$ <br> Core | $\mathbf{2 0 3 1}$ <br> Dev |
| North (New <br> Parks Way) | Left | 126 | 129 | 191 | 124 | 105 | 101 |
|  | Straight | 751 | 717 | 191 | 124 | 105 | 101 |
|  | Left | 763 | 755 | 23 | 26 | 92 | 93 |
|  | Straight | 415 | 399 | 51 | 50 | 61 | 59 |
|  | Right | 374 | 378 | 63 | 63 | 75 | 76 |
| South <br> (Braunstone <br> Way) | Left | 378 | 377 | 7 | 7 | 31 | 31 |
|  | Straight | 741 | 746 | 60 | 61 | 87 | 88 |
|  | Left | 570 | 577 | 87 | 93 | 94 | 95 |
|  | Straight | 598 | 576 | 68 | 65 | 89 | 86 |
|  | Right | 239 | 280 | 53 | 55 | 48 | 56 |

Table 8-7: ODDR/A47 junction turning movement statistics, AM Peak

| $\begin{gathered} \text { A563 (ODDR)/A47: PM } \\ \text { Peak } \end{gathered}$ |  | Actual Flow (pcus) |  | Delay (seconds) |  | V/C Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Turn | $\begin{aligned} & 2031 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2031 \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ | $2031$ <br> Core | $\begin{gathered} 2031 \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2031 \\ & \text { Core } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2031 \\ \text { Dev } \end{gathered}$ |
| North (New Parks Way) | Left | 159 | 183 | 50 | 200 | 92 | 105 |
|  | Straight | 670 | 641 | 47 | 198 | 82 | 105 |
|  | Right | 163 | 248 | 59 | 210 | 69 | 105 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { East (A47 } \\ & \text { WB) } \end{aligned}$ | Left | 637 | 691 | 14 | 14 | 77 | 79 |
|  | Straight | 579 | 559 | 56 | 54 | 79 | 76 |
|  | Right | 219 | 221 | 63 | 63 | 59 | 60 |
| South (Braunstone Way) | Left | 309 | 306 | 9 | 9 | 32 | 33 |
|  | Straight | 938 | 942 | 54 | 54 | 87 | 88 |
|  | Right | 453 | 452 | 106 | 105 | 96 | 95 |
| West (A47 <br> EB) | Left | 8 | 5 | 49 | 50 | 6 | 4 |
|  | Straight | 452 | 473 | 49 | 50 | 62 | 65 |
|  | Right | 295 | 270 | 75 | 69 | 80 | 73 |

Table 8-8: ODDR/A47 junction turning movement statistics, PM Peak

## 9. Appendix B: Client Specified Route Analysis

## Route 1



Figure 9-1: A47, between the Inner Ring Road and ODDR

| Direction | Metric | 2016 AM Core | 2031 AM Core | 2031 AM <br> Development | 2031 Dev- <br> 2031 Core |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Travel Time (secs) | 561.1 | 554.2 | 546.8 | -7.40 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 21.2 | 21.5 | 21.8 | 0.30 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 3987.8 | 4006.9 | 4012.9 | 6.00 |
| Westbound | Travel Time (secs) | 468.5 | 534.2 | 532.2 | -2.00 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 25.7 | 22.3 | 22.41 | 0.11 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 3007.5 | 3692.2 | 3645.7 | -46.50 |

Table 9-1: Route 1 summary statistics, AM Peak

| Direction | Metric | 2016 PM Core | 2031 PM Core | 2031 PM <br> Development | 2031 Dev- <br> 2031 Core |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Travel Time (secs) | 444.8 | 490.9 | 492.7 | 1.80 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 26.7 | 24.3 | 24.2 | -0.10 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 2879.9 | 2992.7 | 3004.8 | 12.10 |
| Westbound | Travel Time (secs) | 507 | 565.3 | 570.8 | 5.50 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 23.7 | 21.1 | 20.9 | -0.20 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 3831 | 4275.6 | 4319.7 | 44.10 |

Table 9-2: Route 1 summary statistics, PM Peak


Figure 9-2: Route 1 ODDR > IRR junction/time plot, AM


Figure 9-3: Route 1 IRR > ODDR junction/time plot, AM


Figure 9-4: Route 1 ODDR > IRR time/junction plot, PM


Figure 9-5: Route 1 IRR > ODDR time/junction plot, PM

Route 2


Figure 9-6: A47, between the ODDR and the 750 unit development site

| Direction | Metric | 2016 AM Core | 2031 AM Core | 2031 AM <br> Development | 2031 Dev- <br> 2031 Core |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Travel Time (secs) | 660.1 | 716.7 | 759.4 | 42.70 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 18.8 | 17.2 | 16.2 | -1.00 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 2949.8 | 2939.5 | 2959.6 | 20.10 |
| Westbound | Travel Time (secs) | 364.3 | 432.5 | 426.1 | -6.40 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 33.8 | 28.5 | 28.9 | 0.40 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 2522.8 | 3047.2 | 2906 | -141.20 |

Table 9-3: Route 2 summary statistics, AM Peak

| Direction | Metric | 2016 PM Core | 2031 PM Core | 2031 PM <br> Development | 2031 Dev- <br> 2031 Core |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eastbound | Travel Time (secs) | 418.4 | 572.1 | 591.9 | 19.80 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 29.6 | 21.6 | 20.8 | -0.80 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 2850.9 | 3254.7 | 3324.4 | 69.70 |
|  | Travel Time (secs) | 446.9 | 392.3 | 410.1 | 17.80 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 27.6 | 31.4 | 30.1 | -1.30 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 2851.6 | 3561.7 | 3707 | 145.30 |

Table 9-4: Route 2 summary statistics, PM Peak


Figure 9-7: Route 2 Dev Site > ODDR time/junction plot, AM


Figure 9-8: Route 2 ODDR > Dev Site time/junction plot, AM


Figure 9-9: Route 2 Dev Site > ODDR time/junction plot, PM


Figure 9-10: Route 2 ODDR > Dev Site time/junction plot, PM

Route 3


Figure 9-11: A47, between 750 unit development site (north of A47) and Desford Crossroads

| Direction | Metric | 2016 AM Core | 2031 AM Core | 2031 AM <br> Development | 2031 Dev- <br> 2031 Core |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eastbound | Travel Time (secs) | 65.7 | 73 | 73.4 | 0.40 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 79 | 75.5 | 75.1 | -0.40 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 768.8 | 995.3 | 1013.3 | 18.00 |
|  | Travel Time (secs) | 120.6 | 92.3 | 93.6 | 1.30 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 45.7 | 59.7 | 58.9 | -0.80 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 932.5 | 1292.1 | 1334.7 | 42.60 |

Table 9-5: Route 3 summary statistics, AM Peak

| Direction | Metric | 2016 PM Core | 2031 PM Core | 2031 PM <br> Development | 2031 Dev- <br> 2031 Core |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eastbound | Travel Time (secs) | 68.1 | 87.4 | 92.7 | 5.30 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 76.1 | 63 | 59.4 | -3.60 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 905.9 | 1504.2 | 1639.8 | 135.60 |
|  | Travel Time (secs) | 199.2 | 94.5 | 96.3 | 1.80 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 27.6 | 58.3 | 57.2 | -1.10 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 951.6 | 1374.8 | 1429.6 | 54.80 |

Table 9-6: Route 3 summary statistics, PM Peak

## Route 4



Figure 9-12: B5380, Ratby Lane between the A47 and the roundabout to Kirby Muxloe

| Direction | Metric | 2016 AM Core | 2031 AM Core | 2031 AM <br> Development | 2031 Dev- <br> 2031 Core |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northbound | Travel Time (secs) | 135.1 | 140.3 | 141.1 | 0.80 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 40.6 | 39.1 | 38.9 | -0.20 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 568.4 | 752.7 | 775.3 | 22.60 |
|  | Travel Time (secs) | 186 | 208.8 | 195.8 | -13.00 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 29.5 | 26.3 | 28 | 1.70 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 1414.8 | 1591.4 | 1537 | -54.40 |

Table 9-7: Route 4 summary statistics, AM Peak

| Direction | Metric | 2016 PM Core | 2031 PM Core | 2031 PM <br> Development | 2031 Dev- <br> 2031 Core |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northbound | Travel Time (secs) | 177.2 | 198.8 | 195.5 | -3.30 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 31 | 27.6 | 28.1 | 0.50 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 982.8 | 1014.9 | 1015.1 | 0.20 |
|  | Travel Time (secs) | 216 | 332.9 | 326.9 | -6.00 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 25.4 | 16.5 | 16.8 | 0.30 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 801.2 | 1064.5 | 1049.7 | -14.80 |

Table 9-8: Route 4 summary statistics, PM Peak

Route 5


Figure 9-13: Braunstone Lane, between the A47 and the bridge over the A563 (Lubbesthorpe Way)

| Direction | Metric | 2016 AM Core | 2031 AM Core | 2031 AM <br> Development | 2031 Dev- <br> 2031 Core |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northbound | Travel Time (secs) | 428.8 | 542.1 | 545.4 | 3.30 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 8.7 | 6.9 | 6.8 | -0.10 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 198.4 | 202.2 | 205.3 | 3.10 |
|  | Travel Time (secs) | 110.6 | 115.7 | 115.7 | 0.00 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 33.6 | 32.1 | 32.1 | 0.00 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 620.1 | 713.3 | 711.4 | -1.90 |

Table 9-9: Route 5 summary statistics, AM Peak

| Direction | Metric | 2016 PM Core | 2031 PM Core | 2031 PM <br> Development | 2031 Dev- <br> 2031 Core |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northbound | Travel Time (secs) | 192.9 | 210.6 | 209.6 | -1.00 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 19.3 | 17.6 | 17.7 | 0.10 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 626.9 | 540.7 | 537.2 | -3.50 |
|  | Travel Time (secs) | 104.4 | 105.8 | 106 | 0.20 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 35.6 | 35.1 | 35.1 | 0.00 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 423.5 | 473.7 | 481.8 | 8.10 |

Table 9-10: Route 5 summary statistics, PM Peak

## Route 6



Figure 9-14: Kirby Lane near to the A47

| Direction | Metric | 2016 AM Core | 2031 AM Core | 2031 AM <br> Development | 2031 Dev- <br> 2031 Core |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northbound | Travel Time (secs) | 141.2 | 142.9 | 144.2 | 1.30 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 44.1 | 43.5 | 43.2 | -0.30 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 884.3 | 968.4 | 1030.5 | 62.10 |
|  | Travel Time (secs) | 265.1 | 330.1 | 338.3 | 8.20 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 23.5 | 18.8 | 18.4 | -0.40 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 518 | 519.3 | 513.1 | -6.20 |

Table 9-11: Route 6 summary statistics, AM Peak

| Direction | Metric | 2016 PM Core | 2031 PM Core | 2031 PM <br> Development | 2031 Dev- <br> 2031 Core |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northbound | Travel Time (secs) | 138.6 | 142.8 | 143 | 0.20 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 44.9 | 43.6 | 43.5 | -0.10 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 727 | 943.6 | 946.2 | 2.60 |
|  | Travel Time (secs) | 168.5 | 278 | 269.1 | -8.90 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 36.9 | 22.4 | 23.1 | 0.70 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 610.4 | 693 | 786.2 | 93.20 |

Table 9-12: Route 6 summary statistics, PM Peak

## Route 7



Figure 9-15: Main Street, Kirby Muxloe

| Direction | Metric | 2016 AM Core | 2031 AM Core | 2031 AM <br> Development | 2031 Dev- <br> 2031 Core |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Travel Time (secs) | 79.9 | 80.1 | 80.7 | 0.60 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 41.2 | 41.1 | 40.8 | -0.30 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 663 | 666.4 | 691.7 | 25.30 |
| Westbound | Travel Time (secs) | 71.8 | 72.2 | 72.4 | 0.20 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 45.9 | 45.6 | 45.5 | -0.10 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 231.9 | 264.4 | 278 | 13.60 |

Table 9-13: Route 7 summary statistics, AM Peak

| Direction | Metric | 2016 PM Core | 2031 PM Core | 2031 PM <br> Development | 2031 Dev- <br> 2031 Core |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eastbound | Travel Time (secs) | 75.4 | 77.1 | 77.5 | 0.40 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 43.7 | 42.7 | 42.5 | -0.20 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 381.1 | 445.6 | 446.2 | 0.60 |
|  | Travel Time (secs) | 75.1 | 74.7 | 75.3 | 0.60 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 43.9 | 44.1 | 43.8 | -0.30 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 422.9 | 406.2 | 434.9 | 28.70 |

Table 9-14: Route 7 summary statistics, PM Peak

## Route 8



Figure 9-16: Desford Road, Kirby Muxloe

| Direction | Metric | 2016 AM Core | 2031 AM Core | 2031 AM <br> Development | 2031 Dev- <br> 2031 Core |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Travel Time (secs) | 68.6 | 68.7 | 69 | 0.30 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 47 | 47 | 46.7 | -0.30 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 219.2 | 229.3 | 258.4 | 29.10 |
| Westbound | Travel Time (secs) | 68.3 | 70.3 | 70.6 | 0.30 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 47.3 | 45.9 | 45.7 | -0.20 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 187.8 | 352.8 | 376.8 | 24.00 |

Table 9-15: Route 8 summary statistics, AM Peak

| Direction | Metric | 2016 PM Core | 2031 PM Core | 2031 PM <br> Development | 2031 Dev- <br> 2031 Core |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eastbound | Travel Time (secs) | 67.7 | 68.8 | 68.8 | 0.00 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 47.7 | 46.9 | 46.9 | 0.00 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 114.2 | 243.3 | 243.7 | 0.40 |
| Westbound | Travel Time (secs) | 68.4 | 69.1 | 69.4 | 0.30 |
|  | Avg. Speed (kph) | 47.2 | 46.7 | 46.5 | -0.20 |
|  | Traffic (pcu.kms) | 201.1 | 269 | 290.6 | 21.60 |

Table 9-16: Route 8 summary statistics, PM Peak
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